IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 29/2016 [2016] NZSC 104

	BETWEEN	ANTHONY PRATT KAYE AND MORVA KAYE Applicants
	AND	NORRIS WARD MCKINNON Respondent
Court:	William Young, Glazebrook and O'Regan JJ	
Counsel:	Applicants in person M J Dennett and C A Robertson for Respondent	
Judgment:	15 August 2016	

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The recall application is dismissed.

REASONS

[1] The applicants seek a recall of our judgment of 15 June 2016 dismissing their application for leave to appeal against a judgment of the Court of Appeal.¹

[2] The application is based on arguments which are (a) largely directed to what are said to be errors made by the High Court and Court of Appeal; and (b) more generally to the effect that leave to appeal ought to have been granted. It is, in substance, an attempt to re-argue the application for leave to appeal. Nothing has been advanced which would warrant a recall of our decision not to grant leave.

Solicitors: Kennedys, Auckland for Respondent

¹ *Kaye v McKinnon* [2016] NZSC 66.

ANTHONY PRATT KAYE AND MORVA KAYE v NORRIS WARD MCKINNON [2016] NZSC 104 [15 August 2016]