## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

[2016] NZSC 28

BETWEEN RICHARD JOHN CRESER

**Applicant** 

AND JANINE CRESER

First Respondent

THE OFFICIAL ASSIGNEE

Second Respondent

AND IN THE MATTER OF APPLICATIONS

BY VINCENT ROSS SIEMER FOR ACCESS TO COURT DOCUMENTS

Court: Elias CJ, William Young, Glazebrook, Arnold and O'Regan JJ

Counsel: V R Siemer in person

Judgment: 22 March 2016

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The challenges to the decisions refusing access to court documents are dismissed.

## **REASONS**

- [1] The applicant, Mr Siemer, challenges two minutes refusing his requests for access to court documents, being
  - (a) a minute of William Young J dated 29 October 2015 denying a request for access to documents filed in relation to SC 46/2015; and

(b) a minute of O'Regan J dated 15 February 2016 which denied Mr Siemer's requests for access to court documents dated 26 December 2015 and 17 January 2016.

[2] The decisions in question were made in accordance with the procedure described in *Greer v Smith*.<sup>1</sup> One of Mr Siemer's applications, in respect of the minute of William Young J, proceeds on the basis that his decision may be discharged or varied under s 28(3) of the Supreme Court Act 2003. For the reasons explained in *Greer v Smith* we do not agree.<sup>2</sup> The other, in respect of O'Regan J's minute, purports to be by way of "appeal/review".<sup>3</sup> There is, however, no right of review or appeal. We also see no reason why the original decisions should not stand.

[3] The challenges are accordingly dismissed.

<sup>1</sup> Greer v Smith [2015] NZSC 196.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> At [9].

The coversheet suggests also that it is by way of review. O'Regan J has separately issued a minute indicating that he does not propose to take any steps in response to the application.