

**NOTE: HIGH COURT ORDER PROHIBITING PUBLICATION OF THE  
NAME OF THE SECOND RESPONDENT REMAINS IN FORCE.**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND**

**SC 95/2017  
[2018] NZSC 8**

BETWEEN                      COMPLAINANT A  
   Applicant

AND                              NEW ZEALAND LAW SOCIETY  
   First Respondent

   Z, A LAWYER  
   Second Respondent

Court:                      Elias CJ, William Young and O'Regan JJ

Counsel:                      Applicant in person  
   D R La Hood and R M A Kós for First Respondent  
   H W King for Second Respondent

Judgment:                      7 February 2018

---

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

---

**The application for recall of this Court's judgment in  
*Complainant A v New Zealand Law Society* [2017] NZSC 158  
is dismissed.**

---

**REASONS**

[1] The applicant applies for recall of this Court's judgment dealing with his application for leave to appeal.<sup>1</sup> In that judgment, the Court dismissed the applicant's application for leave to appeal against the judgment of Clifford J, in which Clifford J had dismissed the applicant's application for review of a decision of the Registrar of

---

<sup>1</sup> *Complainant A v New Zealand Law Society* [2017] NZSC 158.

the Court of Appeal refusing to dispense with security of costs in relation to his appeal to the Court of Appeal.<sup>2</sup>

[2] This Court's judgment was issued on 12 October 2017 and the application for recall was filed on 5 February 2018.

[3] The arguments made in support of the application for recall do not reveal any proper basis for the recall of the judgment. The application for recall is therefore dismissed.

Solicitors:

Luke Cunningham & Clere, Wellington for First Respondent

DAC Beachcroft New Zealand Ltd, Auckland for Second Respondent

---

<sup>2</sup> *Complainant A v New Zealand Law Society* [2017] NZCA 373.