



Supreme Court of New Zealand | Te Kōti Mana Nui o Aotearoa

February 2025

<p>Tuesday 18th February 2025</p> <p>Winkelmann CJ Glazebrook J Ellen France J Williams J Miller J</p>	<p>SC 110/2024</p> <p>Solicitor-General's Reference (No 1 of 2024) from CA441/2023 ([2024] NZCA 318) <i>M F Laracy and Z R Johnston</i></p> <p><i>S J Shamy as counsel assisting the Court</i></p> <p>Solicitor-General's reference</p>
<p>Grounds on which leave was granted</p>	<p>We grant leave to the Solicitor-General to refer the following questions of law (arising from <i>Nikoloff v R</i> [2024] NZCA 318) to this Court under s 317 of the Criminal Procedure Act 2011:</p> <p>Was the defect in the leave given on behalf of the Attorney-General able to be remedied or rectified by the instrument of ratification?</p> <p>Was the trial at which Mr Nikoloff was convicted a nullity?</p>
<p>Supreme Court leave application decision</p>	<p>SOLICITOR-GENERAL'S REFERENCE (NO 1 OF 2024) [2024] NZSC 160 [27 November 2024]</p>
<p>Court of Appeal decision</p>	<p>Not publicly available</p>
<p>High Court decision</p>	<p>Not publicly available</p>

<p>Tuesday 25th February 2025</p> <p>Winkelmann CJ Glazebrook J Williams J Kós J Miller J</p>	<p>SC 48/2024</p> <p>Tristan Lee Tamati <i>J E L Carruthers and S J Bird</i></p> <p>V</p> <p>The King <i>M F Laracy and A J Ewing</i></p> <p>Criminal Appeal Hearing</p>
<p>Grounds on which leave was granted</p>	<p>The approved questions are as follows: (a) whether errors by trial counsel may have occasioned a miscarriage of justice; and (b) whether in the circumstances a reliability warning was required.</p>
<p>Supreme Court leave application decision</p>	<p>TAMATI v R [2024] NZSC 91 [2 August 2024]</p>
<p>Court of Appeal decision</p>	<p>TAMATI v R [2024] NZCA 113 [16 April 2024]</p>
<p>High Court decision</p>	<p>Not publicly available</p>

<p>Thursday 27th – Friday 28th February 2025</p> <p>Winkelmann CJ Glazebrook J Ellen France J Williams J Miller J</p>	<p>SC 31/2024</p> <p>Koro Putua <i>A S Butler KC and M D N Harris</i></p> <p>V</p> <p>Attorney-General <i>D Jones and O Kiel</i></p> <p>Human Rights Commission as Intervener <i>R A Kirkness and D T Haradasa</i></p> <p>Civil Appeal Hearing</p>
<p>Grounds on which leave was granted</p>	<p>The approved question is whether the Court of Appeal was correct to allow the appeal.</p>
<p>Supreme Court leave application decision</p>	<p><u>KORO PUTUA v ATTORNEY-GENERAL [2024] NZSC 92 [1 August 2024]</u></p>
<p>Court of Appeal decision</p>	<p><u>ATTORNEY-GENERAL v PUTUA [2024] NZCA 67 [21 March 2024]</u></p>
<p>High Court decision</p>	<p><u>PUTUA v ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF NZ [2022] NZHC 2277 [7 September 2022]</u></p>

Judges as seen from public seating

18 February 2025

The Hon Justice Williams	The Hon Justice Glazebrook	The Rt Hon the Chief Justice	The Hon Justice Ellen France	The Hon Justice Miller
--------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

25 February 2025

The Hon Justice Kós	The Hon Justice Glazebrook	The Rt Hon the Chief Justice	The Hon Justice Williams	The Hon Justice Miller
---------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

27 – 28 February 2025

The Hon Justice Williams	The Hon Justice Glazebrook	The Rt Hon the Chief Justice	The Hon Justice Ellen France	The Hon Justice Miller
--------------------------	----------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------

Normal sitting hours: 10.00am – 11.30am, 11.45am – 1.00pm, 2.15pm – 4.00pm