## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 38/2007 [2007] NZSC 65

BETWEEN GREGORY NIELSEN AND RODERICK

WILLIAM NIELSEN

**Appellants** 

AND DYSART TIMBERS LIMITED

Respondent

Court: Blanchard, Tipping and McGrath JJ

Counsel: S P Bryers and A M Swan for Appellants

C F L Godinet for Respondent

Judgment: 9 August 2007

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A. The time for making the application for leave is extended pursuant to rule 11(4).
- B. Leave to appeal is granted.
- C. The approved grounds are:
  - (1) Whether the Court of Appeal erred in its approach to the interpretation of clause 5 of the contract by:
    - (a) taking into account evidence of subjective intention; and
    - (b) giving undue weight to the context as opposed to the words of clause 5.

Solicitors: Ross & Whitney, Auckland for Appellants

What is the true meaning of clause 5.

**(2)**