

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND**

**SC 64/2007  
[2007] NZSC 88**

BETWEEN                      SAXMERE COMPANY LIMITED AND  
   ORS  
   Applicants

AND                              WOOL BOARD DISESTABLISHMENT  
   COMPANY LIMITED  
   Respondent

Court:                      Blanchard, McGrath and Anderson JJ

Counsel:                  S J Grey for Applicants  
                                 R A Dobson QC and J L Bates for Respondent

Judgment:              12 November 2007

---

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

---

**The application for leave to appeal is dismissed with costs of \$2,500 to the respondent.**

**REASONS**

[1]     We are satisfied that the proposed appeal raises no question of public or general importance. The issues sought to be raised concern decisions by the now dissolved Wool Board which are said to have been in breach of s 6(6) of the Wool Board Act 1997. That legislation has been repealed. The outcome of the case appears to have no significance for anyone other than the parties and those with interests in them. There is no obvious error in the judgment of the Court of Appeal giving rise to an apparent miscarriage of justice. Leave to appeal is therefore refused as the proposed appeal does not meet the criteria in s 13 of the Supreme Court Act 2003.

Solicitors:

Sue Grey Lawyer, Nelson for Applicants

Quigg Partners, Wellington for Respondent