IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 90/2007 [2008] NZSC 41

 \mathbf{G}

V

THE QUEEN

Court: Blanchard, Tipping and Wilson JJ

Counsel: P V Paino for Applicant

S B Edwards for Crown

Judgment: 22 May 2008

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

REASONS

[1] The applicant has sought leave to appeal against dismissal by the Court of Appeal of his appeal to that Court against conviction on a number of sexual offences against young family members. Counsel was assigned to represent the applicant in relation to the present application. His written submission states and briefly comments on various proposed grounds, indicating that the applicant has been unwilling to provide counsel with further detail. Having considered the submissions and the arguments presented in the Court of Appeal, where the applicant represented himself and Mr Pyke appeared as Amicus Curiae, we are satisfied that there is no proper basis for a further appeal to this Court. The matters raised are specific to the

facts of the particular case and	we are unpersuaded th	nat a miscarriage of	f justice may
have occurred.			

Solicitors: Crown Law Office, Wellington