## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 30/2010 [2010] NZSC 48

BETWEEN DAVID OWEN CREQUER

**Applicant** 

AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE, DEPARTMENT OF

**CORRECTIONS** 

Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, Blanchard and Wilson JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person

V E Casey for Respondent

Judgment: 6 May 2010

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed, with costs of \$1,250 to the respondent.

## **REASONS**

- [1] Mr Crequer applies for leave to appeal against a judgment of the Court of Appeal<sup>1</sup> dismissing his appeal against a judgment of Gendall J denying his application for a writ of habeas corpus on behalf of Mr Peter Petryszick, a remand prisoner.<sup>2</sup>
- [2] The proposed appeal is moot, because Mr Petryszick was released on bail on 1 April 2010, prior to the filing of the leave application. Even if the appeal were not moot it would, as the Court of Appeal found, be barred by s 14(2)(b) of the Habeas

Crequer v Chief Executive, Department of Corrections [2010] NZCA 75, [2010] NZAR 208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Crequer v Prison Manager, Northland Region Corrections Facility HC Whangarei CIV-2010-488-134, 20 March 2010.

Corpus Act 2001 which prevents a Judge from calling into question "a ruling as to

bail by a court of competent jurisdiction".

[3] It is therefore unnecessary to consider whether Mr Crequer has a right of

appearance in this matter.

[4] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed. The respondent has sought

costs. The applicant is ordered to pay to the respondent costs of \$1,250.

Solicitors:

Crown Law, Wellington