## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 20/2011 [2011] NZSC 43

BETWEEN VINCENT ROSS SIEMER

**Applicant** 

AND MICHAEL PETER STIASSNY

First Respondent

AND KORDA MENTHA, FORMERLY

KNOWN AS FERRIER HODGSON AND

CO LTD

Second Respondent

AND THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF

NEW ZEALAND Third Respondent

AND DAVID COLLINS

Fourth Respondent

AND JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMMISSIONER

Fifth Respondent

AND THE CHIEF JUSTICE OF

NEW ZEALAND Sixth Respondent

Court: Blanchard, Tipping and McGrath JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person

A Powell for Third Respondent

Judgment: 20 April 2011

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed, with costs of \$1500.00, plus disbursements to be fixed if necessary by the Registrar, to the Attorney-General.

## **REASONS**

Leave is refused because the decision of the Court of Appeal<sup>1</sup> was undoubtedly correct in all its aspects. It would not therefore be in the interests of justice to grant leave to appeal to this Court.

Solicitors

Crown Law Office, Wellington for Third Respondent

Siemer v Stiassny [2011] NZCA 1.