

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 24/2011  
[2011] NZSC 54

|         |                                    |
|---------|------------------------------------|
| BETWEEN | J L T<br>Applicant                 |
| AND     | THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL<br>Respondent |

Court: Blanchard, McGrath and William Young JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person  
A J Williams for Respondent

Judgment: 19 May 2011

---

**JUDGMENT OF THE COURT**

---

**The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.**

**REASONS**

[1] The applicant seeks leave to appeal from the Court of Appeal's dismissal of his appeal<sup>1</sup> from a judgment of Joseph Williams J refusing to set aside consent orders which were made by an Associate Judge on 7 May 2008.<sup>2</sup> These orders were made at the conclusion of a settlement conference at which the applicant was legally represented. The underlying claim arose out of irregularities which occurred in proceedings taken under the Children, Young Persons, and Their Families Act 1989 involving the two sons of the applicant.

[2] We are satisfied that the applicant's concerns about the consent orders and what preceded them have been fully considered and fairly addressed by Joseph Williams J and the Court of Appeal. The proposed appeal does not involve a matter

---

<sup>1</sup> *JLT v Attorney-General* [2011] NZCA 12.

<sup>2</sup> *JLT v Attorney-General* HC Wellington CIV-2009-485-1655, 29 June 2010.

of general or public importance and there is no appearance of a miscarriage of justice. Accordingly the application for leave to appeal should be dismissed.

Solicitors:  
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent