

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 26/2011
[2011] NZSC 44

BETWEEN DAVID STANLEY HEENAN
 Applicant

AND THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL
 Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, Blanchard and Tipping JJ

Counsel: Applicant in Person

Judgment: 20 April 2011

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed

REASONS

[1] Mr Heenan was declared by the High Court to be a vexatious litigant.¹
The Court of Appeal dismissed his appeal from that decision.²

[2] His application to this Court raises no matter which would justify a grant of leave in accordance with the criteria in s 13 of the Supreme Court Act 2003. Furthermore, the content and tone of Mr Heenan's communications with the Court in themselves would provide confirmation that the view of his conduct taken below was correct.

Solicitors:
Crown Law Office, Wellington

¹ *Attorney-General v Heenan* HC Christchurch CIV-2007-412-1061, 19 August 2009.

² *Heenan v Attorney-General* [2011] NZCA 9.