## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 56/2012 [2012] NZSC 91

BETWEEN VINCENT ROSS SIEMER

**Applicant** 

AND MICHAEL HERON

First Respondent

AND RUSSELL MCVEAGH

Second Respondent

AND FORCE 1 SECURITY

Third Respondent

AND SIONE TANAKI

Fourth Respondent

AND PIO SAMI

Fifth Respondent

Court: Elias CJ, William Young and Chambers JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person

T L Clarke for First and Second Respondents P F Wicks for Third, Fourth and Fifth Respondents

Judgment: 30 October 2012

## JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

- A The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.
- B The applicant is to pay to the respondent costs of \$2,500 plus all reasonable disbursements to be fixed, if necessary, by the Registrar.

## **REASONS**

[1] The proposed appeal arises out of a judgment of Keane J fixing security for

costs<sup>1</sup> on an appeal from a judgment of the District Court also dealing with security

for costs.<sup>2</sup> The security required to be provided by Mr Siemer for the appeal to the

High Court was \$800. An application for leave to appeal direct to this Court was

dismissed<sup>3</sup> as was a later recall application.<sup>4</sup> Mr Siemer has also challenged the

judgment of Keane J in the Court of Appeal.<sup>5</sup> In respect of that appeal, the Acting-

Registrar declined to dispense with security for costs and Wild J later dismissed an

application for review. The result is that Mr Siemer is required to provide security

for costs in the sum of \$11,200. He now seeks leave to appeal from the judgment of

Wild J.

[2] The circumstances of the case were carefully reviewed by Wild J. The

proposed appeal does not raise an issue of public or general importance and there is

no appearance of error. The criteria specified in s 13(2) of the Supreme Court Act

2003 are not met.

Solicitors:

Bell Gully, Auckland, for First and Second Respondents

\_

Siemer v Heron HC Auckland CIV-2010-404-6880, 17 March 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Siemer v Heron DC Auckland CIV-2008-004-0479, 4 December 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Siemer v Heron [2012] NZSC 56.

Siemer v Heron [2012] NZSC 64.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Siemer v Heron CA103/2012, 8 August 2012.