IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 31/2015 [2015] NZSC 86

BETWEEN VINCENT ROSS SIEMER AND JANE

DINSDALE SIEMER

Applicants

AND KEVIN STANLEY BROWN

First Respondent

M PALMA

Second Respondent

A LOVELOCK Third Respondent

JANE THEW

Fourth Respondent

REECE SIRL Fifth Respondent

Continued next page ...

Court: William Young, Arnold and O'Regan JJ

Counsel: V R Siemer in person

A M Powell and E J Devine for First to Fourteenth Respondents

V E Casey for Fifteenth Respondent

Judgment: 22 June 2015

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for the recall of our judgment in Siemer & Siemer v Brown & Ors [2015] NZSC 62 is dismissed.

VINCENT ROSS SIEMER AND JANE DINSDALE SIEMER v KEVIN STANLEY BROWN [2015] NZSC 86 [22 June 2015]

JULIE FOSTER Sixth Respondent

JOHN MILLER Seventh Respondent

DAVID THOMAS Eighth Respondent

BRETT OTTO Ninth Respondent

TREVOR FRANKLIN Tenth Respondent

JOHN TAYLOR Eleventh Respondent

JUERGEN ARNDT Twelfth Respondent

KERWIN STEWART Thirteenth Respondent

THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF NEW ZEALAND Fourteenth Respondent

B J REID Fifteenth Respondent

REASONS

[1] The first named applicant seeks an order recalling our judgment of 15 May 2015 dismissing his application for leave to appeal against a judgment by Wild J¹ refusing to dispense with security for costs in relation to an appeal by the applicant to the Court of Appeal against a refusal by Toogood J to recuse himself in litigation in the High Court in a claim by the applicants as plaintiffs against the respondents.²

¹ Siemer v Brown [2015] NZCA 69.

² Siemer v Brown [2014] NZHC 3175.

[2]	The grounds advanced do not add anything of substance to the submissions
filed	in support of the leave judgment and do not warrant a recall of that judgment.
Solici Crow	itors: n Law Office, Wellington for Respondents