IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

SC 11/2016 [2016] NZSC 36

BETWEEN KARL LESLIE RAYMOND MARWOOD

Applicant

AND THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE

First Respondent

ERANA KING Second Respondent

THE PERRIN TRUST Third Respondent

ANZ BANK

Fourth Respondent

Court: William Young, Arnold and O'Regan JJ

Counsel: R E Harrison QC and M W Ryan for Applicant

M D Downs and P D Marshall for First Respondent

A G Speed for Second Respondent

No appearance for Third and Fourth Respondents

Judgment: 11 April 2016

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

A Leave to appeal is granted (Commissioner of Police v Marwood [2015] NZCA 608).

B The approved question is:

Did the Court of Appeal err in holding that the High Court had no jurisdiction (or power) to exclude the challenged evidence obtained by search of the applicant's premises and, if so, should the challenged evidence be excluded in this proceeding?

REASONS

[1] The issues arising in this appeal have some commonality with those arising in $R \ v \ A(SC\ 12/2016)$ for which leave was given on 15 March 2016 and which is due to be heard on 16 June 2016.¹ For this reason it would be convenient to the Court for the present appeal to be heard at or near the same time as $R \ v \ A$. We therefore set the present appeal down for hearing on 16 June 2016, immediately after $R \ v \ A$, with provision for the hearing to continue into 17 June 2016 if necessary.

Solicitors: Edwards Clarke Dickie, Auckland for Applicant Crown Law Office, Wellington for First Respondent

-

 $^{^{1}}$ R v A(SC 12/2016) [2016] NZSC 21. A suppression order has been made in relation to that judgment.