IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

I TE KŌTI MANA NUI O AOTEAROA

SC 107/2022 [2022] NZSC 137

BETWEEN ROBERT FRANK TERRY

Applicant

AND NEW ZEALAND POLICE

Respondent

Court: Glazebrook, O'Regan and Ellen France JJ

Counsel: Applicant in person

H S Cunningham for Respondent

Judgment: 30 November 2022

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

REASONS

[1] The applicant, Mr Terry, was convicted in the District Court of two charges of driving while disqualified and one charge of wilful damage by using a rock to damage a police car.¹ His appeal against conviction and sentence was dismissed by the High Court.² Mr Terry now seeks leave to appeal against a judgment of the Court of Appeal dismissing his application to bring a second appeal.³

R v Terry [2021] NZDC 13487 (Judge Rielly). He was then sentenced to fines of \$500 and disqualified from driving for six months, as well as ordered to pay reparations and court costs: R v Terry [2021] NZDC 16962 (Judge Rielly).

² Terry v R [2021] NZHC 2838 (Edwards J).

³ Terry v New Zealand Police [2022] NZCA 198 (Cooper, Peters and Palmer JJ).

[2] There is no jurisdiction to appeal to this Court against a Court of Appeal

decision declining leave to bring a second appeal.⁴

[3] We comment that, in any event, this proposed appeal would not meet the

criteria for leave to appeal,⁵ and in particular, would not meet the requirements for a

direct appeal to this Court from the High Court decision.⁶ Nothing raised by Mr Terry

would suggest that the Courts below erred in their analysis or that there was any risk

of a miscarriage of justice.

Result

[4] The application for leave to appeal is dismissed.

Solicitors:

Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent

Senior Courts Act 2016, s 71; Criminal Procedure Act 2011, s 213(3); and Rangihuna v R [2022] NZSC 1 at [6]-[7].

Senior Courts Act, s 74(2).

Senior Courts Act, s 75. Such an application would in any event be some 11 months out of time.