

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

I TE KŌTI MANA NUI O AOTEAROA

SC UR 6/2026  
[2026] NZSC 91

RE BENJAMIN MORLAND EASTON  
Applicant

Counsel: Applicant in person

Judgment: 9 July 2026

---

JUDGMENT OF MILLER J

---

- A** The application for recall of my judgment of 29 May 2026 (*Re Easton* [2026] NZSC 69) is dismissed.
- B** The Registrar is directed not to accept for filing any further recall applications in relation to this matter.
- 

REASONS

[1] Mr Easton has applied for recall of my judgment of 29 May 2026 dismissing his application for review of a Registrar's decision not to accept documents for filing.<sup>1</sup> He has filed a number of documents in support of this recall application.<sup>2</sup>

[2] The application is dismissed on the ground that it does not meet the high standard for recalls.<sup>3</sup> Rather, it seeks to relitigate the merits of the earlier decision.

---

<sup>1</sup> *Re Easton* [2026] NZSC 69.

<sup>2</sup> I have reviewed and considered the documents Mr Easton filed with his application for recall, as well as the further documents Mr Easton filed on 9 and 30 June 2026, and the affidavit Mr Easton filed on 2 July 2026.

<sup>3</sup> *Horowhenua County v Nash (No 2)* [1968] NZLR 632 (SC) at 633 as cited in *Saxmere Company Ltd v Wool Board Disestablishment Company Ltd (No 2)* [2009] NZSC 122, [2010] 1 NZLR 76 at [2].

[3] The Registrar is directed not to accept for filing any further recall applications in relation to this matter. Mr Easton remains at liberty to file a compliant application for leave to appeal from a judgment of a lower court.