

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
WELLINGTON REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
TE WHANGANUI-Ā-TARA ROHE**

CIV-2017-485-000218

IN THE MATTER OF An application by HORI TURI ELKINGTON, of
Wellington, trustee of the Ngāti Koata Trust, for
Recognition orders under the Marine and Coastal Area
(Takutai Moana) Act 2011

(AND OTHER PROCEEDINGS LISTED ON THE
ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

Hearing: 28 May 2018

Counsel: A K Irwin for H T Elkington on behalf of Ngāti Koata
B R Lyall for P Seymour on behalf of Te Whānau Tima
C B Hirschfeld for J Daymond on behalf of Te Aitanga O Ngā Uri O
Wharekauri and G Matthews on behalf of Te Hika o Papaūma
M Houra for Te Ātiawa o Te Waka-a-Māui
D C F Naden for WJ Taueki on behalf of Muaūpoko, R Harper on behalf
of Te Hika o Papaūma, K Watene on behalf of Tukōkō and Ngāti Moe
and Ngāi Tūmapūhia-ā-Rangi Māori Marae Committee Incorporated
H A Brown for T Williams
K J Tarawhiti for Papauma Marae Trustees, Tūpoki Takarangī Trust and
RA Selby on behalf of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga
J P Ferguson for RA Selby on behalf of Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga
L H Watson for Moriori Imi Iwi and Ngāti Kere
M D McGhie for M Morgan-Allen on behalf of David Morgan Whānau
R A Siciliano on behalf of the Trustees of Te Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust
T M Williams for A Baker on behalf of Te Ātiawa Ki Whakarongotai
Charitable Trust
T J Castle for CA Edwards and others on behalf of Te Whakatōhea,
Ngāi Taiwhakaea Hapū, VJ Bouchier and VJ Bouchier on behalf of
Taumata B Block Whānau
T H Bennion for Hunau of Tame Horomona Rehe, Ngāti Hinewaka me
ona Kārangaranga Trust and Muaūpoko Tribal Authority Incorporated
Mr Te Rira Puketapu and Mr Lovie on behalf of Te Ātiawa ki te Ūpoko
o te ika a Māui Trust
K K Clarkson (priority claim) in person
D A Ward and G L Melvin for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
DUNEDIN REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
ŌTEPOTI ROHE**

Hearing: 30 May 2018

Counsel: D F McLaclan for Ngāi Tahu Whānui
C Tōpi and R Fife in person for Te Whānau o Tōpi
D A Ward for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
NELSON REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
WHAKATŪ ROHE**

Hearing: 31 May 2018

Counsel: J Inns for Ruapuke Island Group
S A Wadworth for Rangitāne O Wairau
L Black for Tahuaroa-Riwaka Houra Whānau, Tahuaroa-Watson
Whānau, Henare Tahuaroa-Watson Whānau and Ngāi Tū-ahu-riri
M Bradley in person on behalf of Te Rūnanga a Rangitāne o Kaituna
D A Ward and G L Melvin for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
GISBORNE REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
TŪRANGANUI-A-KIWA ROHE**

Hearing: 5 June 2018

Counsel: B R Lyall for M Lant as Chairperson of Ngā Hapū o Kokoronui ki Te
Toka a Taiau Takutai Kaitiaki Trust
B Tupara (acting on instructions from Mr C B Hirschfeld) for
NMP Tupara on behalf of Ngāti Oneone and R Sinclair on behalf of
Te Whānau a Kahu
D C F Naden for MJ Kingi on behalf of Ngāti Tahu O Mōhaka-Waikare
and R Tichborne on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Tokomaru Ākau
H J P Wilson for Trustees of the Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust
H K Irwin-Easthope for Trustees of the Tāmanuhiri Tūtū Poroporo Trust

T B Johnson for Te Rūnanganui o Ngāti Porou Trustee Ltd on behalf of ngā hapū Ngāti Porou

T B Johnson (acting on instructions from M K Mahuika) for RT Hawaikirangi on behalf of Ngāti Pārau and Ngāti Kurupakiaka, Te Aitanga A Puata and Ngāi Tauira

N R Milner for T Pēwhairangi on behalf of Te Whānau A Ruataupare ki Tokomaru and the Trustees of the Rurima Island Māori Reservation

R N Smail for Mr Taylor acting on behalf of the Trustees of the Ngāti Pāhauwera Development Trust on behalf of Ngāti Pāhauwera and Rongowhakaata Iwi Trust

Mr HH Kaukau and Ms G Kaukau in person on behalf of the Trustees of Te Rauhina Marae and Hapū

No appearance for H McIlroy on behalf of Te Whānau a Rākaioa ki Waipiro and Te Whānau a Iritekura and RT McClutchie on behalf of Te Whānau a Umuariki

G L Melvin and C C McKay for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
TAURANGA REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
TAURANGA MOANA ROHE**

Hearing: 6 June 2018

Counsel: G S G Erskine for BA Savage and W Persese on behalf of Te Whānau ā Te Harāwaka

K Tahana for the Trustees of the Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Ranginui Settlement Trust on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Ranginui and the Trustees of Te Rūnanga o Te Whānau on behalf of Te Whānau A Apanui

J Mason for CM Paul, Manu Paora Whānau, D Potter and Tangihia Hapū
J P Koning for MM Ririnui on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakahemo

J N Gear for the Trustees of the Ngāi Te Rangi Settlement Trust for and on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Ngāi Te Rangi

K S Feint for Mōtītī Rohe Moana on behalf of Ngā Hāpu O Te Moutere O Mōtītī

R A Siciliano for NH Singh, RC Karu, K Karu, P Mott, B Gage and AAT Williams as Trustees of Ngāti Tara Tokanui Trust on behalf of Ngāti Tara Tokanui and PT Biddle, KS Mokomoko and The Uri (Descendants) of Whakatōhea Rangatira Mokomoko

R A Makgill for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakaue ki Maketū Incorporated for and on behalf of Ngāti Whakaue ki Maketū Hapū

R A Makgill (acting on instructions from Mr Bennion) for Ngāti Pūkenga, J Hata on behalf of Ngāti Patumoana and Ngāi Te Hapū Incorporated

S T Webster for JT Rolleston for and on behalf of the Ihakara Tangitū Reserve, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Awa for and on behalf of Ngāti Awa and MM Ririnui on behalf of Ngāti Hē Hapū Trust
T L Hovell for C Reeder & Ors on behalf of Ngā Pōtiki a Tamapahore Trust
R N Zwaan for Te Ūpokorehe Treaty Claims Trust on behalf of Te Ūpokorehe
G L Melvin and C C McKay for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
ROTORUA REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
TE ROTORUA-NUI-Ā-KAHU ROHE**

Hearing: 7 June 2018

Counsel: A T Sykes and J J M Bartlett Kameta for Ngāti Ira o Waioweka Rohe
T T R Williams and C M T Linstead-Panoho for TF Hillier on behalf of Ngāi Tamahaua Hapū and MM Jones on behalf of Ngāi Tai Iwi and Ririwhenua Hapū
C B Hirschfeld for Ngāti Hei, Ngāti Huarere ki Whangapoua and Ngāti Pū
T Sinclair for CA & A Edwards on behalf of Te Whakatōhea, RM Parkinson on behalf of Te Uri A Tehapu, L Delamere on behalf of Pākōwhai Hapū, C Davis, Ngāti Muriwai Hapū and D Flavell on behalf of Hiwarau C, Turangapikitoi, Waiotake and Ōhiwa
J M Pou for Ngāti Pikiao, Ngāti Mākino and Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board
J P Kahukiwa for Ngāti Whakaue
K Tahana for T Rota on behalf of Te Ururoa and Te Whānau-a-Apanui
S T Webster for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Awa
G L Melvin and C C McKay for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
HAMILTON REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
KIRIKIROA ROHE**

Hearing: 8 June 2018

Counsel: A T Sykes for A Greensill on behalf of Tainui hapū o Tainui waka
B J Loader for T Āwhitu on behalf of Ngāti Wehi and West Coast iwi and Hapū ki Marokopa Marae
C B Hirschfeld for T R Kēmara on behalf of Ngā Tini Hapū o Maniapoto

M M Piripi for Te Rūnanganui o Ngāti Hikairo
R A Siciliano for Ngāti Mahuta ki te Hauāuru
G Tootill in person
G L Melvin and C C McKay for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
NEW PLYMOUTH REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
NGĀMOTU ROHE**

Hearing: 20 June 2018

Counsel: M M Piripi for M Robinson and Ngāti Manuhiakai; Okahu Inuawai Hapū; D Noble on behalf of Kanihi-Umutahi (me etahi) Hapū; Te Korowai o Ngaruahine Trust; Ngāti Haua hapū of Ngaruahinerangi Iwi; Ngāti Tamaahuroa and Titahi Hapū
A K Irwin for WC Wano, Te Kahui o Taranaki Iwi, JGD Tuuta on behalf of Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga; LH Poutu on behalf of Te Kotahitanga o Te Ātiawa Trust and Ngāti Tama
J Inns for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Ruanui Trust
T H Bennion and L Black for Nga Hapū o Mōkau ki Runga, CM Tongaaw'kau on behalf of Araukuuku Hapū, Ngāti Tū Hapū, Te Patutokotoko and Nga Hapū o Mōkau ki Runga (Northern)
AM Broughton and M Bennett in person for Te Kaahui o Rauru Trust
G L Melvin and E D E Geach for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
WHANGAREI REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
WHANGĀREI TERENA PARĀOA ROHE**

Hearing: 25 June 2018

Counsel: A T Sykes and J J M Bartlett Kameta for A Hamilton on behalf of Ngāti Manu and its hapū Te Uri Karaka and Te Uri o Raewere
L Thornton (acting on instructions from B R Lyall) for Te Rae Ahu Whenua Trust
L Thornton for McGee Whānau, Ngāti Kawau, Ngāti Kawhiti, Ngāti Haiti and Ngāi Tupango
C M Hockly for Te Whakapiko hapū of Ngāti Manaia and the Reweti and Rewha Whānau
C M Hockly (acting on instructions from T H Bennion) for Ngāti Pūkenga
A J Sinclair for RJ Nathan on behalf of Te Rōpu o Rangiriri, M Tito on behalf of Te Ihutai ki Orira, JLP Tiatoa on behalf of Ngā hapū o Taimai

ki te Marangai, WK Moran on behalf of Ngāti Manu and Ngāti Rangi, R and M McGrath on behalf of Ngāti Torehina ki Mataure ō Hau, M Taurua on behalf of Ngāti Rahiri Hapū, K Rata on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Ngātiwai Iwi, N MacDonald on behalf of Te Whānau o Hōne Pāpita Rāua Ko Rewa Ataria Paama and H Busby on behalf of Ngāti Kahu, Te Rarawa and Te Uriohina

D C F Naden for Ngātokimatawhoarua Waka Te Tii-I-Waitangi Māori Incorporation, RT Porter & Ors on behalf of Te Iwi ō Te Rarawa ki Ahipara, Ngāti Rahiri and Ngāti Kawa and J H Te Tuhi QSM on behalf of Te Popoto ki Oturei

G S G Erskine for RR Gabel on behalf of Ngāti Tara, the late N Aldridge and V Walker on behalf of Te Whānau o Rataroa and MJ Williams on behalf of Ngāi Tupango

J P Kahukiwa for P Mahanga on behalf of Te Waiariki, Ngāti Kororā, Ngāti Takapari Hapū/Iwi of Niu Tireni

J K Harper-Hinton for Ngāti Torohina ki Mataka

K Tahana for Matihetihe Marae Trust on behalf of Ngā hapū o Te Tao Maui and Te Hoko Keha

K Tahana (acting on instructions from Ms Inns) for Ngātiwai Trust Board

K H Dixon for Ngunguru Marae Trust, Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board and Ngāti Korokoro Trust

M M Piripi for Te Rūnanga o Te Rarawa and Ngāpuhi/Ngāti Kahu ki Whaingaroa

R M Jones and A V Vasudevan for Haititiamarangai Marae Trust, Te Whānau Moana me Te Rorohuri and Ngāti Kuta and Patukeha ki Te Rawhiti

C M Bollen for Parengarenga A Incorporation and Iwi

R M Harrison for T Waata and J Waata on behalf of Te Whānau Whero

R N Zwaan for AP Kira on behalf of Whakarara Māori Committee

S E Wroe and W Wells for the Trustees of Ngāti Kuri Trust Board

S Downs and H M Jamieson for Waikare Māori Committee on behalf of Te Kapotai, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Hine on behalf of Ngāti Hine, Ngāti Kawa and Ngāti Rahiri and the Trustees of Te Rūnanga Nui o Te Aupouri

T B Afeaki for Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Kahu and W Kingi for Ngā Hapū o Tangaroa

W P McCarthy for SP George on behalf of Ngātiwai (Whānau of Ohawini), H Lyndon on behalf of Ngā Uri o Hairama Pita Kino Davies and Whangaroa Ngaiotonga Trust and M Hughes on behalf of Henare Waata Whānau and P L Walker on behalf of Mokau Whānau

D A Ward and G M N Gillies for Attorney-General

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
AUCKLAND REGISTRY**

**I TE KŌTI MATUA O AOTEAROA
TĀMAKI MAKĀURAU ROHE**

Hearing: 27 June 2018

Counsel: A T Sykes and J J M Bartlett Kameta for A Hamilton for and on behalf of Ngāti Rongo o Mahurangi
A V K Shankar for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua
G S G Erskine for JW Cotter-Williams on behalf of Ngāti Taimanawaiti
H W T Walker for Ngāti Rehua-Ngātiwai ki Aotea
D Takitimu for JH Tamihere on behalf of Ngāti Porou ki Hauraki
J Mason (acting on instructions from G E Sharrock) for R Dargaville on behalf of Ngāti Kauwau, Ngāti awa Whangaroa, Hon D Samuels of Ngāti Kahu, MM Nova on behalf of Ngāti Tāhuhu, Ngāti Tuu and Ngāti Kukuakea, JR Kingi on behalf of Ngāpuhi nui tonu, Ngāti Rahiri, Ngāti Awa, Ngāti Tāhuhu and Ngāitawake, R Dargaville on behalf of New Zealand Māori Council Members, M Stead on behalf of Te Taoū and J Hotere, R Hotere and A Wikaira on behalf of Te Hikutu hapū
J Mason for Reti Whānau and Mrs LT Collier on behalf of Ngāti Kawau and Te Waiariki Kororā
J P Kahukiwa for R Minhinnick on behalf of Ngāti Te Ata
L Thornton for MJ Beazley on behalf of Ngāti Rehua-Ngātiwai ki Aotea, Ngāti Maraeariki, hapū Ngāti Raupo, Ngāti Kahu, Ngāti Poataniwha, Ngāti Rongo, hapū Ngāti Ka and Ngāti Waitaua of Mahurangi
A V Vasudevan for Ngāti Whātua Ōrakei Trust
D T K Ketu for Ngāti Tai ki Tāmaki Trust
A J Sinclair (acting on instructions from T A Castle) for P Page on behalf of Ngāti Mutunga o Wharekauri Iwi Trust
A J Sinclair for GKM Parker on behalf of Mahurangi, Ngāti Awa and Ngāpuhi
C B Hirschfeld for Ngāti Hako, L Delamere and Whakatōhea Pakowhai and L Delamere and Whānau A Apanui Hapū
H C Andrews for Te Whānau-a-Haunui
D A Ward and G M N Gillies for Attorney-General

Minute: 18 July 2018

**MINUTE (No. 5) OF COLLINS J
[First Case Management Conferences]**

PART I

INTRODUCTION

Overview

[1] Part I of this minute addresses the following issues arising from 10 case management conferences held between 28 May and 27 June 2018 in relation to 202 applications filed under the Marine and Coastal Area (Takutai Moana) Act 2011 (the Act):

- Funding;
- Engagement with the Crown;
- Adjournments;
- Overlapping claims;
- Boundaries;
- Mapping;
- Leadership from the bar;
- Pre-trial applications;
- Judicial settlement conferences; and
- Further particulars and better pleadings.

[2] Part II of this minute deals with applications that are afforded priority under s 125(3) of the Act and claims that overlap with the priority applications. Part III of this minute explains how the remaining applications are to be advanced. Part IV addresses the position of those who are interested parties but who are not applicants under the Act.

Background

[3] Before addressing the issues raised by the case management conferences, it is appropriate to highlight the importance of the applications to all New Zealanders by briefly summarising the legislative basis of the applications.

[4] Since at least 1870, Māori have been endeavouring to protect their interests in marine and coastal areas. The early success of Hōterene Taipari in obtaining exclusive fishing rights to parts of the Hauraki foreshore under the Native Lands Act 1865¹ was met with a series of enactments that curtailed the ability of Māori to assert their claims to marine and coastal areas.² The repeal of those statutes and the passing of Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 permitted the revival of claims by Māori to their interests in marine and foreshore areas. At the vanguard of these claims were those pursued by Māori in and around the Marlborough Sounds. Their claims led to the decision in *Attorney-General v Ngāti Apa*,³ in which the Court of Appeal confirmed the jurisdiction of the Māori Land Court to determine claims by Māori for customary ownership of areas of the foreshore and seabed.

[5] The *Ngāti Apa* decision prompted the passing of the Foreshore and Seabed Act 2004 (the 2004 Act) which vested in the Crown the ownership of public foreshore and seabed areas and prohibited the Māori Land Court from considering existing applications by Māori in relation to those areas. That legislation was passed in the context of a report from the Waitangi Tribunal, which found the policies underpinning the 2004 Act breached the Treaty of Waitangi.⁴

[6] In 2009, a Ministerial Review Panel was established to provide advice on how the government should respond to the criticisms of the 2004 Act. That panel recommended the adoption of new legislation that would be consistent with the Treaty of Waitangi and recognise the interests of whānau, hapū and iwi, as well as those of the general public in the foreshore and seabed.

¹ Kauwaeranga judgment (1984) 14 VUWLR 227.

² See for example, Harbours Act 1878; Harbours Act 1950 and Māori Affairs Act 1953.

³ *Attorney-General v Ngāti Apa* [2003] 3 NZLR 643 (CA).

⁴ Waitangi Tribunal *Report on the Crown's Foreshore and Seabed Policy* (Wai 1071, 2004).

[7] In 2011, Parliament passed the Act, which repealed the 2004 Act and reinstated the customary interests of Māori in marine and coastal areas that had been extinguished by the 2004 Act.

[8] The preamble to the Act records that it takes account of “the intrinsic, inherited rights of iwi, hapū, and whānau, derived in accordance with tikanga and based on their connection with the foreshore and seabed and on the principle of manaakitanga. It translates those inherited rights into legal rights and interests that are inalienable, enduring, and able to be exercised so as to sustain all the people of New Zealand and the coastal marine environment for future generations”.

[9] Section 100(2) of the Act put in place a time limit for applying for protected customary rights orders or marine title orders (recognition orders). That time limit expired six years after the commencement of the Act, namely on 1 April 2017.

[10] Section 125(3) of the Act affords priority to applications for customary rights orders that had been commenced under the 2004 Act. There are eight such applications. I refer to them in more detail in Part II of this minute.

[11] While Parliament has afforded priority to eight applications, the Court is willing to hear and determine any application that can be progressed to a stage where it is ready for hearing. Applicants who do not meet the statutory test of being a priority application should not delay preparing their applications. It is possible some applications that are not priority applications will be able to be heard at a similar time to priority applications.

Funding

[12] The Crown, acting through the Office of Treaty Settlements (OTS) in the Ministry of Justice, has committed to making “a contribution to the costs of iwi, hapū or whānau groups who are engaged with the Crown and/or who [have] applied to the High Court” for recognition orders under the Act.⁵

⁵ Ministry of Justice “Funding information for applicants” <www.justice.govt.nz/māori-land-treaty/marine-and-coastal-area/funding-information-for-applicants/>

[13] A number of applicants expressed frustration about the difficulties they have encountered in accessing funding assistance from the Crown. It transpired that during the course of the first round of case management conferences, a number of applicants were able to engage more effectively with those in OTS who are administering the funding applications. A number of counsel cautiously expressed their appreciation for the way in which OTS is now responding to funding applications. There has, however, been a note of concern about the limits to the funding policy and, in particular, the inability of entities who are interested parties but not applicants to obtain funding at this stage.

[14] At this stage I will limit my comments about funding to the following three points:

- (1) Applicants for funding need to proactively advance their applications by following the procedure set out on the Ministry of Justice website.
- (2) OTS should actively engage with applicants so as to ensure applications for recognition orders can be properly advanced in this Court.
- (3) It would be unfortunate if applications for recognition orders were delayed because of funding policies.

Engagement with the Crown

[15] Many applicants expressed their desire to negotiate recognition agreements with the Crown pursuant to s 95 of the Act. To date a small number of applicants have commenced engagement with the Crown. Counsel for the Attorney-General advised on various occasions during the course of the case management conferences that OTS may take up to 18 months to decide which applicants it is willing to negotiate with.

[16] The delays signalled by OTS are a source of concern for applicants and the Court. A delay of up to 18 months in deciding which applicants will be engaged with by the Crown is likely to force many applicants down the litigation pathway in circumstances where they may otherwise have been amenable to a negotiated settlement.

[17] I encourage OTS to try and expedite its consideration of applications to engage in direct negotiation with the Crown. Negotiated outcomes, particularly in cases involving Māori interests in the marine and coastal areas is likely to be preferable to determinations made by the Court.

Adjournments

[18] A number of applicants sought adjournments sine die whilst they considered their options and or pursued negotiations with the Crown.

[19] Whilst I am amenable to a number of applications for adjournment, I have decided it is preferable that any adjournments be to a specific date and not open ended.

Overlapping applications

[20] Resolving overlapping applications will be a significant challenge in hearing and determining the applications that have been lodged under the Act. Almost every application is subject to an overlapping claim. Some applications, particularly in the Northern areas of New Zealand, are the subject of significant numbers of overlapping claims.

[21] A number of overlapping claims may be able to be resolved through negotiation between overlapping applicants. This may be possible where, for example, further inquiries reveal that in fact applicants have a common (as opposed to overlapping) boundary or where more than one applicant is seeking protected customary rights in a particular part of the marine and coastal area that may be able to be accommodated in recognition orders that are made in favour of more than one applicant.

[22] The Court encourages overlapping applicants to engage in genuine discussions to try and resolve overlapping claims. It is appreciated that some deep-seated disputes will not be able to be resolved by negotiation and ultimately the Court may have to resolve some competing claims. It is to be hoped, however, that the majority of competing claims can be resolved through negotiations conducted in good faith.

Boundaries

[23] Counsel for the Attorney-General have endeavoured to categorise the 202 applications and overlapping claims into 20 claim areas (A to S), one of which covers the entire coastline of New Zealand.⁶ The boundaries of the claim areas drawn by counsel for the Attorney-General do not purport to be definitive and have been drawn to assist in administering the large number of applications and overlapping claims that have been made.

[24] It is apparent that some applications will probably need to be moved into an adjoining claim area in order to be dealt with in a more appropriate manner. Examples of applications that will, in all likelihood, need to be considered in a different claim area include:

- (1) moving the Ngāti Mutunga and Ngāti Tama applicant groups from Group P to Group O to enable those applicant groups to proceed in tandem with other Taranaki iwi (in accordance with their whakapapa connections);
- (2) moving Ngāti Porou ki Hauraki so that it is solely in Group H.

[25] Changes of this type can be made by counsel for the Attorney-General after considering submissions from affected parties. It would be premature to make orders at this stage concerning the boundaries that have been put forward by counsel for the Attorney-General. What is important is that applicants, the Attorney-General and the Court ensure that the interests of all affected persons are considered when hearing and determining each application.

Mapping

[26] In her constructive submissions, Ms Sykes, counsel for a number of applicants, promoted the concept of the applicants and the Crown agreeing on the appointment of one or more cartographers to prepare maps delineating the geographical areas of claims.

⁶ There are two applicants who have sought recognition orders for the entire New Zealand coastline, namely Rihari Dargaville (CIV-2017-404-000538) and Cletus Maanu Paul (CIV-2017-485-000512).

Ms Sykes pointed out that the mapping of claim areas was particularly challenging for a number of applicants and that a process whereby the Crown and applicants agreed upon the appointment of a small pool of mappers would be likely to assist in addressing some overlapping claims. A number of other counsel embraced Ms Sykes' submissions. Ms Jones, counsel for Te Whānau Moana me te Rorohuri⁷ and Ngāti Kuta and Patukeha ki te Rawhiti⁸ also suggested the development of an agreed protocol or set of guidelines for cartographers.

[27] Mr Ward and Mr Melvin, counsel for the Attorney-General, are taking further instructions on the suggestions made by Ms Sykes, Ms Jones and other counsel.

[28] From the Court's perspective, there is considerable merit in there being a unified approach to the drawing of maps in support of applications for recognition orders.

[29] A united approach to the preparation of maps is likely to assist in resolving a number of overlapping claims. Even if overlapping claims cannot be resolved through the process of drawing maps, the mapping process will assist in identifying areas of genuine dispute.

[30] The Court therefore endorses the concept of a small agreed upon pool of map makers being appointed to prepare maps on behalf of applicants who require their assistance. Ideally the cost of that exercise will be borne by the Crown as part of its commitment to contributing to the funding of applications.

Leadership from the bar

[31] Mr Kahukiwa, counsel for Te Waiariki, Ngāti Korora, Ngāti Takapari Hapū/Iwi of Niu Tireni⁹ and Ngāti Te Ata¹⁰ submitted that effective management of the applications in some areas, such as Northland, may be achieved through leadership from members of the bar marshalling applications. Mr Kahukiwa's suggestions may not be easy to implement, however, particularly where lawyers are already having to carefully avoid conflicts of interests.

⁷ CIV-2017-485-000271.

⁸ CIV-2017-485-000321.

⁹ CIV-2017-404-000566.

¹⁰ CIV-2017-404-000509.

[32] It may, however, be possible at some later date for the Court to appoint counsel to assist the Court in managing applications in some areas. That is a matter that can be considered if and when the need arises.

Pre-trial applications

[33] Ms Mason has signalled a desire on behalf of her clients to have the Court determine some issues before trial, particularly the scope and meaning of “exclusive use” and “occupation” since 1840 in the definitions of customary marine title in s 58(1) of the Act. Ms Mason intends to confer with other counsel before making any application for orders under r 10.15 of the High Court Rules.

[34] While I will reflect upon any application made by Ms Mason on behalf of her clients, it may be difficult to determine any issues of the sort foreshadowed by Ms Mason in the absence of clear facts. Warnings to this effect were given by the Chief Justice in *Attorney-General v Ngāti Apa*.¹¹

[35] Other counsel have placed on record their disquiet about the status and role of the Attorney-General in these proceedings, and whether the Attorney-General can oppose applications “in the public interest”.

[36] The role and status of the Attorney-General in these proceedings *may* be amenable to a separate hearing pursuant to r 10.15 of the High Court Rules. If that course of action is to be followed, applicants who have taken issue with the role of the Attorney-General should promptly file an application under r 10.15 together with supporting memoranda. This should be done before **30 August 2018**.

Judicial settlement conferences

[37] As evidence is accumulated and applications are refined, it may be feasible to conduct some judicial settlement conferences to resolve outstanding issues. This is, again, a matter that can be dealt with if and when the need arises.

¹¹ *Attorney-General v Ngāti Apa*, above n 3, at [10].

Further particulars and better pleadings

[38] Counsel for the Attorney-General have raised a number of issues about the state of some applicants' pleadings. I believe it is premature to engage in those issues at this stage. I will, however, be conducting a further round of case management conferences in accordance with the schedule set out in Parts II and Part III of this minute. That may be an appropriate time to deal with any outstanding issues concerning the state of pleadings.

PART II

PRIORITY APPLICATIONS

Clarkson (CIV-2011-485-000789)

[39] Ms Clarkson's application was considered during the case management conference held in Wellington on 28 May 2018.

[40] At this stage, it is difficult to determine precisely which applicants do in fact overlap with Ms Clarkson's claim. The information before me suggests the following applications may, to varying degrees, overlap with Ms Clarkson's application:

- (1) CIV-2017-404-000538 – Rihari Dargaville for New Zealand Māori Council;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000512 – Cletus Maanu Paul;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000193 – Ngāti Kere Hapū;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000224 – Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust Group;
- (5) CIV-2017-404-000481 – G Matthews for Te Hika o Papaūma Mandated Iwi Authority; and
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000221 – Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki Nui-a-Rua Settlement Trust Group.

[41] There are also likely to be a number of interested parties who are not applicants and who will wish to be heard in relation to Ms Clarkson's application.

[42] It is possible that further research and investigation will reduce the number of overlapping applications. At this juncture, however, it is appropriate to proceed on the basis that the overlapping applications referred to at [40] should be heard with Ms Clarkson's application to the extent those applications overlap with Ms Clarkson's application. The qualification set out in the previous sentence is important in order to prevent the hearing expanding to accommodate cascading applications; that is to say, claims that overlap a cross-application but do not overlap the priority application.

[43] Ms Clarkson has advised that she anticipates calling approximately four witnesses in support of her application.

[44] To facilitate the hearing of Ms Clarkson's application as soon as is reasonably possible I put in place the following timetable:

- (1) Statements of evidence in support of Ms Clarkson's application are to be filed and served on the Attorney-General, and the overlapping applications referred to at [40] by **17 October 2018**. The Registry will place on the Ministry of Justice website the statements of evidence filed in support of Ms Clarkson's application.
- (2) Statements of evidence from any overlapping applicant, who wishes to contest Ms Clarkson's application, are to be filed and served on the Attorney-General, Ms Clarkson and other overlapping applicants by **16 January 2019**.
- (3) Statements of evidence from any other interested party who are not an applicant are also to be filed and served on Ms Clarkson and the Attorney-General and the overlapping applicants by **16 January 2019**.

- (4) Statements of evidence from the Attorney-General in opposition to Ms Clarkson's claim and the claims by any overlapping applicants or other interested parties are to be filed by **19 April 2019**.
- (5) Any pre-trial applications are to be filed and served by **3 May 2019**.
- (6) A second case management conference will be conducted on **10 May 2019**.

[45] A fixture for Ms Clarkson's application has been set for two weeks commencing on **15 July 2019**.

Tangiora (CIV-2011-485-000794 – Rongomaiwahine Iwi Trust)

[46] So far as I can ascertain, there are three applications that overlap this priority application, namely:

- (1) CIV-2017-404-000538 – Rihari Dargaville for New Zealand Māori Council;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000512 – Cletus Maanu Paul; and
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000314 – Trustees of the Tāmanuhiri Tūtū Poroporo Trust on behalf of Ngā Tāmanuhiri Iwi.

[47] Representatives of the Rongomaiwahine Iwi have been engaged in direct negotiations with the Crown to try and secure a recognition agreement pursuant to s 95 of the Act.

[48] The parties have therefore sought an adjournment of this priority application in order to progress their negotiations. That application for an adjournment is granted. The priority application and any cross-applications will be reconsidered at a second case management conference to be held in **Gisborne on 17 June 2019**.¹²

¹² This is a different date from that which was previously advised.

Taylor (CIV-2011-485-000821)

[49] Mr Taylor's priority application was considered during the case management conference held in Gisborne on 5 June 2018.

[50] The following five applications may overlap to varying degrees with Mr Taylor's application:

- (1) CIV-2017-404-000538 – Rihari Dargaville for New Zealand Māori Council;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000512 – Cletus Maanu Paul;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000241 – Maungaharuru Tangitū Trust;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000235 – M Kingi for Ngāi Tahu O Mōhaka-Waikare;
and
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000246 – Ngāti Pārau.

[51] There is at this juncture some uncertainty as to the extent if any that the application by Ngāti Pārau overlaps with Mr Taylor's claim.

[52] Mr Smail, counsel for Mr Taylor, has explained that a considerable volume of evidence has been accumulated in support of Mr Taylor's claim.

[53] To facilitate the hearing of Mr Taylor's claim I put in place the following timetable:

- (1) The statements of evidence in support of Mr Taylor's claim are to be filed and served on the Attorney-General and overlapping applicants by **20 December 2018.**

- (2) The statements of evidence in support of Mr Taylor's claim will be placed on the Ministry of Justice website so that other interested parties who are not applicants can access that evidence.
- (3) I will conduct a second case management conference of this application **in Napier on 4 February 2019** to put in place a timetable for the Attorney-General, overlapping applicants and other interested parties to file their statements of evidence in response.

[54] It is my intention to assess after the second case management conference how much hearing time will be required to hear and determine Mr Taylor's application, and the applications filed by overlapping applicants. The Court is aiming to provide a fixture for this application in early 2020.

Reeder (CIV-2011-485-000793 – Ngā Pōtiki)

[55] This priority claim was considered during the case management conference conducted in Tauranga on 6 June 2018.

[56] The Crown has agreed to negotiate with Ngā Pōtiki in relation to its claim for protected customary rights. The Crown has, however, declined to negotiate with Ngā Pōtiki in relation to its claim for customary marine title.

[57] Mr Hovell, counsel for Ngā Pōtiki seeks an adjournment of Ngā Pōtiki's claim for protected customary rights to enable negotiations with the Crown to progress.

[58] I will adjourn Ngā Pōtiki's application for protected customary rights to a second case management conference to be held in Tauranga on **18 June 2019**.

[59] There are 14 other applications that overlap with Ngā Pōtiki's application. Many of these applicants have engaged in discussions about how to progress their respective claims.

[60] There is agreement that six other applicants should be heard in full in conjunction with Ngā Pōtiki's application. Those six applicants are:

- (1) Ngāi Te Hapū Inc (CIV-2017-485-000257);
- (2) Ngā Hapū o Te Moutere o Mōtītī (CIV-2015-485-000767);
- (3) Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakaue ki Maketū (CIV-2016-485-000770);
- (4) H Kahukiwa for Koromatua Hapū of Ngāti Whakaue (CIV-2017-404-000568);
- (5) M Ririnui for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakahemo (CIV-2017-485-000223); and
- (6) M Ririnui for Ngāti He Hapū Trust (CIV-2017-485-000219).

[61] There is also agreement that three applicants partially overlap with the western area of Ngā Pōtiki's application and that those applications should be heard to the extent that they overlap with Ngā Pōtiki's claim. Those applications have been filed by:

- (1) Ngāi Te Rangi Settlement Trust (CIV-2017-485-000244);
- (2) Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Ranginui Settlement Trust (CIV-2017-485-000294);
and
- (3) Te Tāwharau o Ngāti Pūkenga (CIV-2017-485-000250).

[62] There is also agreement that there are three applications in the eastern area of Ngā Pōtiki's application that should be heard in conjunction with Ngā Pōtiki's application to the extent that they overlap with Ngā Pōtiki's claim. Those three applications are:

- (1) Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Awa (CIV-2017-485-000196);
- (2) Ngāti Mākino Heritage Trust and Ngāti Pīkiao Iwi Authority (CIV-2017-485-000291); and

(3) R Parkinson for Te Uri a Tehapu (CIV-2017-404-000562).

[63] In addition, there are the overlapping claims by Cletus Maanu Paul¹³ and Rihari Dargaville.¹⁴

[64] The key issue raised before me was whether the 14 overlapping applications that I have identified at [60] to [63] should be limited to claims for customary marine titles or include the overlapping claims for protected customary rights.

[65] I have concluded that the hearing of the application by Ngā Pōtiki and the hearing of overlapping applications should be limited to claims for customary marine titles. That is to say, the hearing of the application by Ngā Pōtiki and overlapping applications should not involve a hearing of overlapping applications for protected customary rights.

[66] There are two reasons that underpin this direction:

- (1) It would be logical for Ngā Pōtiki's application for protected customary rights to be determined in conjunction with overlapping applications for protected customary rights. I would expect the Attorney-General to engage with overlapping applicants whose claims for protected customary rights impact upon the application by Ngā Pōtiki.
- (2) It is likely the scope for injustice will be reduced if overlapping applicants to Ngā Pōtiki's application for customary marine title are confined to claims for customary marine titles.

Edwards (CIV-2011-485-000817 – Te Whakatōhea)

[67] This priority application was considered during the course of the case management conference conducted in Rotorua on 7 June 2018.

¹³ CIV-2017-485-000512.

¹⁴ CIV-2017-404-000538.

[68] This is a priority claim. There are approximately 20 applications that overlap to varying degrees with the claim brought on behalf of Te Whakatōhea.

[69] Mr Sinclair has very recently been instructed to act for Te Whakatōhea. He has not yet received the files for his client or had the opportunity to take full instructions. In these circumstances, it is necessary for me to adjourn the first case management conference for the claim by Te Whakatōhea and the overlapping applications.

[70] I therefore adjourn the first case management conference for the claim by Te Whakatōhea and the overlapping applications to a resumed case management conference, which will be held in Rotorua on **26 October 2018**. Counsel who appear for overlapping applicants and or a representative of those claimants are to appear or provide instructions to others at the resumed case management conference.

Brooks and Hooker (CIV-2011-485-000803 – Okahu Inuawai Hapū)
Noble (CIV-2011-485-000814 – Kanihi Umutahi me Etahi Hapū)
Robinson (CIV-2011-485-000797 – Ngāti Manuhiakai)

[71] These three priority applications were considered during the course of the case management conference held in New Plymouth on 20 June 2018. The following nine applications appear to overlap to varying degrees with these three priority applications:

- (1) CIV-2017-404-000538 – Rihari Dargaville for New Zealand Māori Council;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000512 – Cletus Maanu Paul;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000282 – Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Ruanui Trust;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000213 – Ngāti Tū Hapū Whenua Toopu Trust;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000210 – C Tongaaw'ikau for Araukuuku hapū;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000212 – W Wano for Te Kahui o Taranaki Iwi;
- (7) CIV-2017-485-000243 – Te Korowai o Ngāruahine Trust

- (8) CIV-2017-485-000300 – C Scott of Ngāti Tamaahuroa and Titahi Hapū and Oeo Pa Trustees; and
- (9) CIV-2017-485-000293 – DW More for Ngāti Haua hapū of Ngaruahinerangi iwi.

[72] Ms Piripi represents the three priority applications identified above, as well as the overlapping applications by Te Korowai ō Ngāruahine Trust.¹⁵ Ms Piripi also anticipates acting for:

- (1) Ngāti Tamaahuroa and Titahi Hapū and Oeo Pa Trustees;¹⁶ and
- (2) Ngāti Haua hapū of Ngaruahinerangi iwi.¹⁷

[73] Ms Piripi advised that discussions are taking place between the three priority applicants whom she represents and other applicants affected by the three priority applications. Ms Piripi explained that although there have been some discussions, to date no consensus has been reached on a common way forward. Ms Piripi sought an opportunity to file a memorandum with the Court after further discussions have taken place with her clients and affected applicants. She anticipates that memorandum would set out what steps the priority applicants propose taking to advance their claims.

[74] I am willing to accommodate Ms Piripi's request. I accordingly provide the three priority applicants whose cases were considered in New Plymouth the opportunity to file a further memorandum with the Court by **1 October 2018** setting out what steps they propose taking to advance their applications. That memorandum should also be served on the Attorney-General and the overlapping applicants identified at [71].

[75] If any of the three priority applicants from New Plymouth wish to pursue their application in the High Court, then they should file and serve their evidence by **31 May 2019**.

¹⁵ CIV-2017-485-000243.

¹⁶ CIV-2017-485-000300.

¹⁷ CIV-2017-485-000293.

[76] I will conduct a second case management conference in New Plymouth on **24 June 2019** to set in place a timetable to progress the three priority applications to a hearing. It is anticipated that hearing will take place in 2020.

PART III

ADVANCING OTHER APPLICATIONS

[77] There are a number of other applicants that do not meet the priority criterion in s 125 of the Act and who have signalled a desire to engage directly with the Crown. Most of those applicants have sought adjournments of their applications.

[78] Although I am granting the applications for adjournments that have been sought, I stress the importance of all applicants compiling their evidence as expeditiously as possible.

[79] The evidence that applicants will need to present to the Crown if they are to negotiate an agreement under s 95 of the Act is likely to be similar to the evidence that will be needed if their efforts at negotiations are not fruitful and they have to resort to a Court hearing. Thus, whichever pathway is ultimately pursued, it will be necessary for applicants to gather the evidence that they intend to rely upon.

[80] As previously stated, applicants who do not satisfy the criteria for priority under the Act may be able to advance their claims just as expeditiously as priority applicants.

[81] In order to progress all remaining applications, I put in place a series of second case management conferences. At the second case management conferences, I expect:

- (1) applicants to have substantially completed the task of gathering evidence; and
- (2) to put in place a timetable for the steps required to progress each application to a substantive hearing.

[82] A number of applicants appeared at the first round of case management conferences at centres other than those nearest to where their clients' applications are

likely to be heard. The second round of case management conferences will involve more intensive management of applications. Counsel are therefore required to attend hearings at the centre where their clients' applications are likely to be heard.

[83] Applications that partially overlap a priority application will be considered at the second round of case management conferences. Directions are likely to be made in relation to those overlapping applications that are not directly impacted by the priority applications.

Wellington case management conference

[84] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Wellington on 10 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-485-000273 – Te Whānau Tima and Te Hapū O Te Mateawa;
- (2) CIV-2017-404-000479 – Te Atianga o Ngā Uri o Wharekauri;
- (3) CIV-2017-404-000481 – Te Hika o Papaūma;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000226 – Te Hika o Papaūma;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000232 – Ngāi Tūmapūhia-a-Rangi Hapū;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000267 – Tukōkō and Ngāti Moe;
- (7) CIV-2017-485-000229 – Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga;
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000160 – Muaūpoko Iwi;
- (9) CIV-2017-485-000258 – Williams;
- (10) CIV-2017-485-000211 – Tupoki Takarangi Trust;
- (11) CIV-2017-485-000220 – Papauma Marae Trustees;

- (12) CIV-2017-485-000193 – Ngāti Kere Hapū;
- (13) CIV-2017-485-000316 – Moriori Imi Iwi;
- (14) CIV-2017-485-000214 – David Morgan Whānau;
- (15) CIV-2017-485-000224 – Rangitāne Tu Mai Rā Trust;
- (16) CIV-2017-485-000248 – Te Ātiawa ki Whakarongotai;
- (17) CIV-2017-485-000260 – Te Ātiawa Iwi;
- (18) CIV-2017-485-000254 Te Patutokotoko;
- (19) CIV-2017-485-000221 – Ngāti Kahungunu ki Wairarapa Tāmaki nui-a-Rua Settlement Trust;
- (20) CIV-2017-485-000217 – Hunau of Tame Horomona Rehe;
- (21) CIV-2017-485-000261 – Muaūpoko; and
- (22) CIV-2017-485-000259 – Ngāti Hinewaka.
- (23) CIV-2017-485-000301 – Te Awa Tupua and Ngā Hapū Uri o Te Iwi o Whanganui; and
- (24) CIV-2017-485-000511 – Ngā Wairiki Ngāti Apa.

[85] I will also “centralise” the New Zealand wide claims by Mr Paul and Mr Dargaville and case manage those two claims in the Wellington list.

Dunedin case management conference

[86] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Dunedin on 12 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-485-000280 – Ngāi Tahu Whānui;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000295 – Te Whānau o Topi; and
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000296 – Ruapuke Island Group.

Nelson case management conference

[87] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Nelson on 13 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-485-000251 – Rangitāne o Wairau;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000167 – Te Rūnanga a Rangitāne o Kaituna;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000171 – Tahuaroa-Riwaka Houra Whānau;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000172 – Tahuaroa-Watson Whānau;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000182 – Henare Tahuaroa-Watson Whānau;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000266 – Ngāi Tūāhuriri;
- (7) CIV-2017-485-000218 – Ngāti Koata; and
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000365 – Te Ātiawa o Te Waka-a-Māui.

Gisborne case management conference

[88] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Gisborne on 17 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-485-000255 – Ngā Hapū o Kororonui ki Te Toka a Taiau Takutai Kaitiaki Trust;
- (2) CIV-2017-404-000571 – Ngāi Oneone;

- (3) CIV-2017-485-000225 – Whānau a Kahu;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000242 – Te Whānau a Rākairoa, Te Whānau a Iritekura;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000263 – Te Whānau a Umuariki;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000235 – Ngāi Tahu O Mōhaka-Waikare;
- (7) CIV-2017-485-000247 – Ngā Hapū o Tokomaru Ākau;
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000241 – Maungaharuru Tangitū Trust;
- (9) CIV-2017-485-000314 – Ngāi Tāmanuhiri Iwi;
- (10) CIV-2017-485-000246 – Ngāti Parau;
- (11) CIV-2017-485-000230 – Ngāti Kurupakiaka & Ors;
- (12) CIV-2017-485-000302 – Te Whānau a Ruataupare;
- (13) CIV-2017-485-000289 – Rongowhakaata Iwi;
- (14) CIV-2017-485-000284 – Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Porou; and
- (15) CIV-2017-485-000288 – Te Rauhina Marae and Hapū.

Tauranga case management conference

[89] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Tauranga on 18 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-485-000238 – Te Whānau a Te Harawaka;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000294 – Ngāti Ranginui;
- (3) CIV-2017-404-000480 – Ngāti Hei;

- (4) CIV-2017-485-000514 – Tangihia Hapū;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000223 – Ngāti Whakahemo;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000244 – Ngā Hapū o Ngāi Te Rangi;
- (7) CIV-2017-485-000767 – Ngā Hapū o Te Mōutere o Motītī;
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000227 – Ngāti Hikakino, Ngāti Te Rangihouhiri II, Te Tāwera;
- (9) CIV-2017-404-000568 – Ngāti Whakaue;
- (10) CIV-2017-485-000770 – Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakaue ki Maketū Inc;
- (11) CIV-2017-485-000222 – Ngāti Tara Tokanui;
- (12) CIV-2017-485-000291 – Ngāti Mākino and Ngāti Pikiaio;
- (13) CIV-2017-485-000195 – Ihakara Tangitū Reserve;
- (14) CIV-2017-404-000483 – Ngāti Pū;
- (15) CIV-2017-485-000250 – Ngāti Pūkenga;
- (16) CIV-2017-404-000556 – Ngāti Porou ki Hauraki;
- (17) CIV-2017-485-000257 – Ngāi Te Hapū;
- (18) CIV-2017-404-000528 – Ngāti Hako;
- (19) CIV-2017-485-000219 – Mita Ririnui as Chairperson of the Ngāti He Hapū Trust; and
- (20) CIV-2017-485-000317 – Raurima Island Māori Reservation.

Rotorua case management conference

[90] The first case management conference will be resumed in **Rotorua on 26 October 2018**. Provision has been made for a second case management conference to be held in **Rotorua on 19 June 2019** to consider the following applications and any others that should be considered in Rotorua:

- (1) CIV-2011-485-000817 – Edwards on behalf of Te Whakatōhea;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000299 – Ngāti Ira o Waioweka Rohe;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000377 – Te Hapū O Tītoko Ngāi Tama;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000262 – Ngāi Tamahaua;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000270 – Ngāi Tai;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000272 – Ririwhenua Hapū;
- (7) CIV-2017-404-000562 – Te Uri a Tehapū;
- (8) CIV-2017-404-000482 – Ngāti Huarere ki Whangapoua;
- (9) CIV-2017-485-000196 – Ngāti Awa;
- (10) CIV-2017-485-000253 – Ngāti Patumoana;
- (11) CIV-2017-485-000264 – Whakatōhea Pākōwhai;
- (12) CIV-2017-485-000269 – Ngāti Muriwai;
- (13) CIV-2017-485-000375 – Hiwarau, Turangapikitoi and Ōhiwa of Whakatōhea;
- (14) CIV-2017-485-000513 – Manu Paora Whānau;

- (15) CIV-2017-485-000355 – Whakatōhea Rangatira Mokomoko;
- (16) CIV-2017-485-000292 – Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board;
- (17) CIV-2017-485-000201 – Kahukore Baker (Te Ūpokorehe);
- (18) CIV-2017-485-000185 – Ngāi Taiwhakaea Hapū;
- (19) CIV-2017-485-000318 – Te Whānau-a-Apanui; and
- (20) CIV-2017-485-000278 – Whānau a Apanui Hapū.

Hamilton case management conference

[91] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Hamilton on 20 June 2019**:

- (1) CIV-2017-419-000083 – Tainui hapū o Tainui waka;
- (2) CIV-2017-419-000081 – Ngāti Te Wehi;
- (3) CIV-2017-419-000082 – West Coast Iwi and Hapū ki Marokopa Marae;
- (4) CIV-2017-404-000526 – Ngā Tini Hapū o Maniapoto;
- (5) CIV-2017-419-000084 – Waikato-Tainui;
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000202 – Te Rūnanganui o Ngāti Hikairo;
- (7) CIV-2017-404-000575 – Ngaati Mahuta ki Te Hauaauru;
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000207 – Ngāti Apakura;
- (9) CIV-2017-419-000080 – Tootill; and

- (10) CIV-2017-419-000085 – Te Tokanganui-a-Noho Regional Management Committee.

New Plymouth case management conference

[92] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in New Plymouth on **24 June 2019**:

- (1) CIV-2017-485-000212 – Taranaki Iwi;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000215 – Ngāti Mutunga;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000282 – Ngāti Ruanui;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000243 – Te Korowai o Ngaruahine Trust;
- (5) CIV-2017-485-000310 – Te Ātiawa (Taranaki);
- (6) CIV-2017-485-000209 – Ngā Hapū o Mōkau ki Runga;
- (7) CIV-2017-485-000210 – Araukuuku Hapū;
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000213 – Ngāti Tū Hapū;
- (9) CIV—2017-485-000216 – Ngā hapū o Mōkau ki Runga;
- (10) CIV-2017-485-000309 – Ngāti Mutunga o Wharekauri;
- (11) CIV-2017-485-000183 – Te Kaahui o Rauru Trust;
- (12) CIV-2017-485-000293 – Ngāti Hauā hapū of Ngaruahinerangi Iwi;
- (13) CIV-2017-485-000300 – Ngāti Tamaahuroa and Titahi Hapū; and
- (14) CIV-2017-404-000534 – Ngāti Tama.

Whangārei case management conference

[93] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Whangārei on 25 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-484-000277 – Ngāti Manu and its Hapū Te Uri Karaka and Te Uri o Raewere;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000239 – Te Rae Ahu Whenua Trust;
- (3) CIV-2017-485-000228 – Te Whakapiko hapū of Ngāti Manaia;
- (4) CIV-2017-485-000352 – Rewi and Rewha Whānau;
- (5) CIV-2017-404-000442 – Rōpū o Rangitiri;
- (6) CIV-2017-404-000522 – Te Ihutai ki Oria;
- (7) CIV-2017-404-000525 – Ngāti Manu and Ngāti Rangī;
- (8) CIV-2017-404-000535 – Ngāti Rāhiri Hapū;
- (9) CIV-2017-404-000540 – Ngāti Torehina Ki Mataure Ō Hau;
- (10) CIV-2017-404-000554 – Ngā Hapū o Ngātiwai Iwi;
- (11) CIV-2017-404-000555 – Te Whānau o Hōne Pāpita Rāua Ko Rewa Ataria Paama;
- (12) CIV-2017-404-000559 – Ngāti Kahu, Te Rarawa and Te Uriohina;
- (13) CIV-2017-485-000233 – Ngāi Tupango;
- (14) CIV-2017-485-000245 – Te Iwi o Te Rarawa ki Ahipara;
- (15) CIV-2017-485-000252 – Te Popoto ki Ōtūrei;

- (16) CIV-2017-404-000529 – Te Whānau-o-Rataroa
- (17) CIV-2017-404-000577 – Ngāti Rāhiri and Ngāti Kawa;
- (18) CIV-2017-404-000579 – Ngā Hapū o Tangaroa ki Te Ihu o Manaia tae atu ki Mangawhai;
- (19) CIV-2017-404-000537 – Ngāpuhi nui tonu, Ngāti Rāhiri, Ngāti Awa, Ngā Tāhuhu and Ngaitawake;
- (20) CIV-2017-485-000305 – Te Parawhau;
- (21) CIV-2017-404-000523 – O Nga Hapū o Taiamai Ki Te Marangai;
- (22) CIV-2017-404-000558 – Ngaitawake;
- (23) CIV-2017-404-000573 – Ngāi Tāhuhu, Ngāti Tuu, Ngāti Kukeke;
- (24) CIV-2017-404-000570 – Te Hikutū Hapū;
- (25) CIV-2017-485-000515 – Reti Whānau;
- (26) CIV-2017-485-000398 – Ngāti Kawau and Te Waiariki Kororā;
- (27) CIV-2017-404-000566 – Te Waiariki, Ngāti Kororā, Ngāti Takapari Hapū/Iwi of Niu Tireni;
- (28) CIV-2017-404-000572 – Ngāti Torehina Ki Matakā;
- (29) CIV-2017-485-000283 – Ngātiwai;
- (30) CIV-2017-485-000279 – Ngāti Takapari;
- (31) CIV-2017-485-000281 – Patuharakeke Te Iwi;
- (32) CIV-2017-485-000286 – Patuharakeke Te Iwi;

- (33) CIV-2017-485-000307 – Ngāti Korokoro;
- (34) CIV-2017-485-000308 – Te Tao Māui and Hoko Keha;
- (35) CIV-2017-485-000249 – Ngāti Kawau, Ngāti Kawhiti, Ngāti Haiti and Ngāi Tupango;
- (36) CIV-2017-485-000256 – McGee Whānau;
- (37) CIV-2017-485-000236 – Ngāpuhi/Ngāti Kahu ki Whāingaroa;
- (38) CIV-2017-485-000290 – Te Rarawa;
- (39) CIV-2017-485-000271 – Te Whānau Moana me te Rorohuri;
- (40) CIV-2017-485-000321 – Ngāti Kuta and Patukeha ki te Rāwhiti;
- (41) CIV-2017-485-000237 – Pārengarenga A Incorporation and Iwi;
- (42) CIV-2017-485-000420 – Te Whānau Whero;
- (43) CIV-2017-485-000298 – Whakarara Māori Committee;
- (44) CIV-2017-485-000208 – Ngāti Kurī Trust Board;
- (45) CIV-2017-485-000231 – Ngāti Hine;
- (46) CIV-2017-485-000265 – Ngāti Kawa and Ngāti Rāhiri;
- (47) CIV-2017-488-000026 – Te Kapotai;
- (48) CIV-2017-485-000306 – Ngātiwai (Whānau of Ohawini);
- (49) CIV-2017-485-000408 – Ngā Uri o Hairama Pita Kino Davies;
- (50) CIV-2017-485-000409 – Whangaroa Ngaiotonga Trust;

- (51) CIV-2017-485-000438 – Henare Waata Whānau;
- (52) CIV-2017-488-000029 – Walker;
- (53) CIV-2017-485-000240 – Te Aupōuri;
- (54) CIV-2017-404-000565 – Ngāti Kahu;
- (55) CIV-2017-404-000539 – Ngāti Kauwau, Ngāti awa Whangaroa;
- (56) CIV-2017-485-000799 – Te Parawhau Hapū;
- (57) CIV-2017-485-000510 – Te Ururoa;
- (58) CIV-2017-404-000578 – Ngāti Tara
- (59) CIV-2017-485-000268 – Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Kahu
- (60) CIV-2017-485-000320 – Ngai Tokoto Iwi

Auckland case management conference

[94] The following applications are adjourned to a second case management conference to be held in **Auckland on 27 June 2019:**

- (1) CIV-2017-404-000563 – Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua;
- (2) CIV-2017-485-000276 – Ngāti Rongo o Mahurangi;
- (3) CIV-2017-404-000524 – Mahurangi, Ngāti Awa and Ngāpuhi;
- (4) CIV-2017-404-000545 – Ngāti Manuhiri;
- (5) CIV-2017-404-000518 – Ngāti Taimanawaiti;
- (6) CIV-2017-404-000580 – Ngāti Rehua-Ngātiwai ki Aotea;

- (7) CIV-2017-404-000546 – Ngāti Rehua;
- (8) CIV-2017-485-000188 – Bouchier;
- (9) CIV-2017-404-581 – Otakanini tōpū Māori Incorporation;
- (10) CIV-2017-404-000542 – Te Taoū;
- (11) CIV-2017-404-000567 – Te Taoū;
- (12) CIV-2017-404-000569 – Ngāti Te Ata;
- (13) CIV-2017-404-000574 – Ngāti Rehua-Ngātiwai ki Aotea;
- (14) CIV-2017-485-000378 – Ngāti Maraeariki, Ngāti Rongo;
- (15) CIV-2017-404-000520 – Ngāti Whātua Ōrakei;
- (16) CIV-2017-404-000564 – Ngāi Tai Ki Tāmaki;
- (17) CIV-2017-404-000582 – Te Whānau-a-Haunui.
- (18) CIV-2017-485-000187 – Taumata B Block Whānau

PART IV

Interested parties who are not applicants

[95] Because of the logistical challenges in trying to conduct case management conferences for 202 applicants and multiple cross-applicants, the first round of case management conferences has been confined to the applicants and the Attorney-General.

[96] Transcripts of the case management conferences are being made available to all applicants and interested parties on the Courts of New Zealand website,¹⁸ together with a copy of this minute.

[97] I invite any interested party who is not an applicant to file any submissions they wish to make in response to the first round of case management conferences. That should be done by **30 August 2018**.

[98] At this stage, I intend to accommodate appearances at the second round of case management conferences from interested parties who wish to make submissions on the case management of the applications.

D B Collins J

Solicitors:

WELLINGTON:

Lovell & Associates Ltd, Upper Hutt for HT Elkington on behalf of Ngāti Koata
Lyll & Thornton, Auckland for P Seymour on behalf of Te Whānau Tima
Foster Milroy Solicitors, Hamilton for J Daymond on behalf of Te Aitanga O Ngā Uri O Wharekauri
and G Matthews on behalf of Te Hika o Papaūma
Thomson O'Neill & Co, Eltham for Te Ātiawa o Te Waka-a-Māui
Tamaki Legal, Auckland for W J Taueki on behalf of Muaūpoko Iwi, R Harper on behalf of Te Hika o
Papaūma and K Watene on behalf of Tukōkō and Ngāti Moe and Ngāi Tūmapūhia-ā-Rangi Māori
Marae Committee Incorporated
Kensington Swan, Wellington for T Williams
Kahui Legal, Wellington for Papauma Marae Trustees, Tūpoki Takarangi Trust, RA Selby on behalf of
Ngāti Raukawa ki te Tonga and A Baker on behalf of Te Ātiawa Ki Whakarongotai Charitable Trust
Leo Watson, Napier for Moriori Imi Iwi and Ngāti Kere
M McGhie, Te Puke for M Morgan-Allen on behalf of David Morgan Whānau
McCaw Lewis, Hamilton on behalf of the Trustees of Te Rangitāne Tū Mai Rā Trust
Greig Gallagher & Co, Wellington for CA Edwards & Ors on behalf of Te Whakatōhea,
Ngāi Taiwhakaea Hapū, VJ Bouchier and VJ Bouchier on behalf of Taumata B Block Whānau
Bennion Law, Wellington for Hunau of Tame Horomona Rehe, Ngāti Hinewaka me ona Kārangaranga
Trust and Muaūpoko Tribal Authority Incorporated
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

¹⁸ <https://www.courtsofnz.govt.nz/the-courts/high-court/high-court-lists/marine-and-coastal-area-takutai-moana-act-2011-applications-for-recognition-orders>.

DUNEDIN:

Bell Gully, Wellington for Ngāi Tahu Whānui
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

NELSON:

OceanLaw New Zealand, Nelson for Ruapuke Island Group
Radich Law, Blenheim for Rangitāne O Wairau
Bennion Law, Wellington for Tahuaroa-Riwaka Houra Whānau, Tahuaroa-Watson Whānau, Henare Tahuaroa-Watson Whānau and Ngāi Tū-ahu-riri
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

GISBORNE:

Lyll & Thornton, Auckland for M Lant as Chairperson of Ngā Hapū o Kokoronui ki te Toka a Taiau Takutai Kaitiaki Trust
Foster Milroy Solicitors, Hamilton for NMP Tupara on behalf of Ngāti Oneone and R Sinclair on behalf of Whānau a Kahu
Tamaki Legal, Auckland for MJ Kingi on behalf of Ngāti Tahu O Mōhaka-Waikare and R Tichborne on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Tokomaru Ākau
Kensington Swan, Wellington for Trustees of the Maungaharuru-Tangitū Trust for and on behalf of Ngāti Kurumōkihi, Ngāti Marangatūhetaua, Ngāti Whakaari, Ngāi Tauira, Ngāi Te Ruruku ki Tangoio and Ngāi Tahu
Kahui Legal, Wellington for Tāmanuhiri Tūtū Poroporo Trust on behalf of Ngāi Tāmanuhiri Iwi, RT Hawaikirangi on behalf of Ngāti Pārau, Ngāti Kurupakiaka, Te Aitanga a Puata and Ngāi Tauira and T Pēwhairangi on behalf of Te Whānau A Ruataupare ki Tokomaru and the Trustees of the Rurima Island Māori Reservation
T B Johnson, Gisborne for Te Rūnanganui o Ngāti Porou Trustee Ltd on behalf of ngā hapū Ngāti Porou
Braithwaite & Smail Limited, Auckland for Mr Taylor, acting on behalf of Trustees of the Ngāti Pāhauwera Development Trust on behalf of Ngāti Pāhauwera and Rongowhakaata Iwi
Te Haa Legal, Ōtaki for H McIlroy on behalf of Te Whānau a Rakairoa ki Waipiro and Te Whānau a Iritekura and RT McClutchie on behalf of Te Whānau a Umuariki
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

TAURANGA:

Tamaki Legal, Auckland for Te Whānau ā Te Harāwaka
Kahui Legal, Wellington for Ngāti Ranginui and Te Whānau A Apanui
Phoenix Law Limited, Wellington for CM Paul, Manu Paora Whānau, D Potter and Tangihia Hapū Koning Webster Lawyers, Papamoa for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakahemo, Ihakara Tangitū Reserve, Ngāti Awa and Ngāti Hē Hapū Trust
Ngāi Te Rangi Settlement Trust, Mount Maunganui South for Ngāi Te Rangi Settlement Trust for and on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Ngāi Te Rangi
Harry Edward Law, Rotorua for Ngā Hāpu O Te Moutere O Mōtītī
McCaw Lewis, Hamilton for Ngāti Tara Tokanui Trust and Whakatōhea Rangatira Mokomoko
Te Nahu Legal Limited, Rotorua for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whakaue ki Maketū Incorporated
Bennion Law, Wellington for Ngāti Pūkenga, Ngāti Patumoana and Ngāi Te Hapū Incorporated
Atkins Holm Majurey, Auckland for C Reeder on behalf of Ngā Pōtiki a Tamapahore Trust
Zwaan Legal, Wellington for Te Ūpokorehe
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

ROTORUA:

Annette Sykes & Co, Rotorua for Ngāti Ira o Waioweka Rohe
Wackrow Williams & Davies Limited, Auckland for TF Hillier on behalf of Te Hapū Tītoko o Ngāi Tama and Ngāi Tamahaua Hapū, MM Jones on behalf of Ngāi Tai Iwi and Ririwhenua Hapū
Foster Milroy Solicitors, Hamilton for Ngāti Hei, Ngāti Huarere ki Whangapoua, Ngāti Pū, CA & A Edwards on behalf of Te Whakatōhea, RM Parkinson on behalf of Te Uri A Tehapu, L Delamere on behalf of Pakowhai Hapū, C Davis, Ngāti Muriwai Hapū and D Flavell on behalf of Hiwarau C, Turangapikitoi, Waiotake and Ōhiwa
Tu Pono Legal Limited, Rotorua for Ngāti Pikiāo, Ngāti Mākino and Whakatōhea Māori Trust Board
Corban Revell, Auckland for Ngāti Whakaue
Kahui Legal, Wellington for T Rota on behalf of Te Ururoa and Te Whānau-a-Apanui

Koning Webster Lawyers, Papamoa for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Awa
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

HAMILTON:

Annette Sykes & Co, Rotorua for A Greensill on behalf of Tainui hapū o Tainui waka
Te Mata Law Limited, Auckland for T Āwhitu on behalf of Ngāti Wehi and LM Poa on behalf of West
Coast Iwi and Hapū ki Marokopa Marae
Foster Milroy Solicitors, Hamilton for TR Kēmara on behalf of Ngā Tini Hapū o Maniapoto
Kensington Swan, Wellington for Te Rūnanganui o Ngāti Hikairo
McCaw Lewis, Hamilton for Ngāti Mahuta ki te Hauāuru
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

NEW PLYMOUTH:

Kensington Swan, Wellington for M Robinson and Ngāti Manuhiakai, Okahu Inuawai Hapū; D Noble
on behalf of Kanihi-Umutahi (me etahi) Hapū; Te Korowai o Ngaruahine Trust; Ngāti Haua hapū of
Ngaruahinerangi Iwi, and Ngāti Tamaahuroa and Titahi Hapū
Arthur Noble Ltd, Nelson for WC Wano, Te Kahui o Taranaki Iwi, JGD Tuuta on behalf of Te
Rūnanga o Ngāti Mutunga; LH Poutu on behalf of Te Kotahitanga o Te Ātiawa Trust and Ngāti Tama
Oceanlaw New Zealand, Nelson for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Ruanui Trust
Bennion Law, Wellington for Nga Hapū o Mōkau ki Runga, CM Tongaaw’kau on behalf of Araukuuku
Hapū, Ngāti Tū Hapū, Te Patutokotoko and Nga Hapū o Mōkau ki Runga (Northern)
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

WHANGĀREI:

Annette Sykes & Co, Rotorua A Hamilton on behalf of Ngāti Manu and its hapū Te Uri Karaka and Te
Uri o Raewere
Lyll & Thornton, Auckland for Te Rae Ahu Whenua Trust, McGee Whānau, Ngāti Kawau, Ngāti
Kawhiti, Ngāti Haiti and Ngāitupango
Hockly Legal, Auckland for Te Whakapiko hapū of Ngāti Manaia and the Reweti and Rewha Whānau
Bennion Law, Wellington for Ngāti Pūkenga
Foster Milroy Solicitors, Hamilton for RJ Nathan on behalf of Te Rōpu o Rangiriri, M Tito on behalf of
Te Ihutai ki Orira, JLP Tiatoa on behalf of Ngā hapū o Taimai ki te Marangai, WC Moran on behalf of
Ngāti Manu and Ngāti Rangī, R and M McGrath on behalf of Ngāti Torehina ki Mataure ō Hau, M
Taurua on behalf of Ngāti Rahiri Hapū, K Rata on behalf of Ngā Hapū o Ngātiwai Iwi, N MacDonald
on behalf of Te Whānau o Hōne Pāpita Rāua Ko Rewa Ataria Paama and H Busby on behalf of Ngāti
Kahu, Te Rarawa and Te Uriohina
Tamaki Legal, Auckland for Ngātokimatawhoarua Waka Te Tii-I-Waitangi Māori Incorporation,
RT Porter & Ors on behalf of Te Iwi ō Te Rarawa ki Ahipara, Ngāti Rahiri and Ngāti Kawa, JH Te
Tuhi on behalf of Te Popoto ki Oturēi, RR Gabel on behalf of Ngāti Tara, the late N Aldridge and V
Walker on behalf of Te Whānau-ō- Rātāroa, MJ Williams on behalf of Ngāi Tupango, W Kingi on
behalf of Ngā Hapū o Tangaroa ki Te Ihu o Manaia taeatu ki Mangawhai and Ngā Hapū o Ngāti Kahu
Corban Revell, Auckland for P Mahanga on behalf of Te Waiariki, Ngāti Kororā, Ngāti Takapari
Hapū/Iwi of Niu Tireni and Ngāti Torehina ki Mataka
Kahui Legal, Wellington for Matihetihe Marae Trust on behalf of Te Tao Maui and Te Hoko Keha
Oceanlaw New Zealand, Nelson for Ngātiwai Trust Board
Dixon and Co Lawyers, Auckland for Ngunguru Marae Trust, Patuharakeke Te Iwi Trust Board and
Ngāti Korokoro Trust
Kensington Swan, Wellington for Te Rūnanga o Te Rarawa and Ngāpuhi/Ngāti Kahu ki Whaingaroa
Chapman Tripp, Auckland for Haititiamarangai Marae Trust, Te Whānau Moana me Te Rorohuri and
Ngāti Kuta and Patukeha ki Te Rawhiti
McCaw Lewis, Hamilton for Parengarenga A Incorporation and Iwi
Harrison Stone, Auckland for T Waata and J Waata on behalf of Te Whānau Whero
Zwaan Legal, Wellington for AP Kira on behalf of Whakarara Māori Committee
Tukau Law, Kaikohe for Waikare Māori Committee on behalf of Te Kapotai, Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Hine
on behalf of Ngāti Hine, Ngāti Kawa and Ngāti Rāhiri and the Trustees of Te Rūnanga Nui o Te
Aupouri
Manaia Legal, Auckland for SP George on behalf of Ngātiwai (Whānau of Ohawini), H Lyndon on
behalf of Ngā Uri o Hairama Pita Kino Davies, Whangaroa Ngaiotonga Trust and M Hughes on behalf
of Henare Waata Whānau and P L Walker on behalf of Mokau Whānau
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General

AUCKLAND:

Annette Sykes & Co, Rotorua for A Hamilton for and on behalf of Ngāti Rongo o Mahurangi
Chen Palmer Public and Employment Law Specialists, Auckland for Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Whātua
Tamaki Legal Limited, Auckland for JW Cotter-Williams on behalf of Ngāti Taimanawaiti and Ngāti
Rehua-Ngātiwai ki Aotea
Grove Darlow & Partners, Auckland for JH Tamihere on behalf of Ngāti Porou ki Hauraki
Rightlaw, Auckland for R Dargaville on behalf of Ngāti Kauwau, Ngāti awa Whangaroa, Hon D
Samuels of Ngāti Kahu, MM Nova on behalf of Ngāi Tāhuhu, Ngāti Tuu and Ngāti Kukea, JR Kingi
on behalf of Ngāpuhi nui tonu, Ngāti Rāhiri, Ngāti Awa, Ngā Tāhuhu and Ngāitawake, R Dargaville on
behalf of Zealand Māori Council Members, M Stead on behalf of Te Taoū and J Hotere, R Hotere and
A Wikaira on behalf of Te Hikutu hapū
Phoenix Law Ltd, Wellington for Reti Whānau and LT Collier on behalf of Ngāti Kawau and Te
Waiariki Kororā
Corban Revell, Auckland for R Minhinnick on behalf of Ngāti Te Ata
Lyll & Thornton, Auckland for MJ Beazley on behalf of Ngāti Rehua-Ngātiwai ki Aotea and Ngāti
Maraeariki, hapū Ngāti Raupo, Ngāti Kahu, Ngāti Poataniwha, Ngāti Rongo, hapū Ngāti Ka and Ngāti
Waitaua of Mahurangi
Chapman Tripp, Auckland for Ngāti Whātua Ōrakei Trust
McCaw Lewis, Hamilton for Ngāi Tai ki Tāmaki Trust
Burley Attwood Law, Tauranga for Ngāti Mutunga o Wharekauri Iwi Trust
Foster Milroy Solicitors, Hamilton for Ngāti Hako and L Delamere on behalf of Whakatōhea
Pakowhai, Whānau A Apanui Hapū and GKM Parker on behalf of Mahurangi, Ngāti Awa and Ngāpuhi
Berry Simons, Auckland for Te Whānau-a-Haunui
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Attorney-General