

NOTE: PUBLICATION OF NAME, ADDRESS, OCCUPATION OR IDENTIFYING PARTICULARS OF COMPLAINANT PROHIBITED BY S 203 OF THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE ACT 2011. SEE

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2011/0081/latest/DLM3360350.html>

NOTE: PUBLICATION OF NAME, ADDRESS, OCCUPATION OR IDENTIFYING PARTICULARS OF ANY COMPLAINANT UNDER THE AGE OF 18 YEARS WHO APPEARED AS A WITNESS OR NAMED WITNESS UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE PROHIBITED BY S 204 OF THE CRIMINAL PROCEDURE ACT 2011. SEE

<http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2011/0081/latest/DLM3360352.html>

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND

I TE KŌTI MANA NUI

**SC 17/2019
[2019] NZSC 32**

BETWEEN BROOKE CHRISTIE ROLLESTON
Applicant

AND THE QUEEN
Respondent

SC 18/2019

BETWEEN BRANDON JAMES ROCHE
Applicant

AND THE QUEEN
Respondent

Court: William Young, O'Regan and Ellen France JJ

Counsel: E Huda for Applicants
K L Kensington for Respondent

Judgment: 21 March 2019

JUDGMENT OF THE COURT

A Leave to appeal is granted to the applicants (*Rolleston v R* [2018] NZCA 611).

B The approved question is whether the Court of Appeal was correct to dismiss the conviction appeals.

REASONS

[1] There will be an initial hearing on the appeal to determine whether or not orders should be made directing an independent practitioner to interview the jury foreperson or jury members more generally.

Solicitors:
Patient & Williams, Christchurch for Applicants
Crown Law Office, Wellington for Respondent