



SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

May 2019

<p>Thursday 2nd at 10.00am</p> <p>William Young J O'Regan J</p>	<p>SC 95/2018</p> <p>Mark Edward Lundy <i>J H M Eaton QC, J A Kincade, J Oliver-Hood and H C Coutts</i></p> <p>v</p> <p>The Queen <i>P J Morgan QC and A Markham</i></p> <p>Oral Leave Hearing</p>
<p>Case Summary</p>	<p>Criminal Appeal – Crimes Act 1961, s 385 – Whether the Court of Appeal erred in dismissing the applicant's appeal against conviction under the proviso to s 385(1) despite finding that certain evidence presented at trial was inadmissible.</p>
<p>Court of Appeal decision</p>	<p>LUNDY v R [2018] NZCA 410 [9 October 2018]</p>





SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

<p>Thursday 2nd at 11.30am</p> <p>Winkelmann CJ Glazebrook J O'Regan J</p>	<p>SC 7/2019</p> <p>Brook Valley Community Group Incorporated <i>S J Grey</i></p> <p>v</p> <p>Brook Waimarama Sanctuary Trust <i>B M Nathan and S Galbreath</i></p> <p>Minister for the Environment <i>N C Anderson and E M Jamieson</i></p> <p>Nelson City Council <i>R E Ennor and F R McLeod</i></p> <p>Oral Leave Hearing</p>
<p>Case Summary</p>	<p>Civil Appeal – Resource Management Act 1991, ss 13, 15 and 360(1)(h) – Whether the discharge of brodifacoum was unlawful – Whether the exempting regulations made under s 360(1)(h) of the Resource Management Act were unlawful – Whether the reduction in the costs award in the High Court was sufficient to reflect the public interest in the case – Whether the Court of Appeal erred in dismissing the appeal</p>
<p>Court of Appeal decision</p>	<p>BROOK VALLEY COMMUNITY GROUP INC v THE BROOK WAIMARAMA SANCTUARY TRUST [2018] NZCA 573 [11 December 2018]</p>
<p>High Court decision</p>	<p>BROOK VALLEY COMMUNITY GROUP INCORPORATED v BROOK WAIMARAMA SANCTUARY TRUST [2017] NZHC 2665 [31 October 2017]</p>





SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

<p>Thursday 2nd at 2:15pm</p> <p>William Young J Glazebrook J O'Regan J</p>	<p>SC 15/2019</p> <p>Eight Mile Style, LLC and Martin Affiliated, LLC <i>G C Williams</i></p> <p>v</p> <p>The New Zealand National Party and Greg Hamilton <i>G F Arthur, G M Richards and P T Kiely</i></p> <p>Oral Leave Hearing</p>
<p>Case Summary</p>	<p>Civil Appeal – Copyright Act 1994, s 121– User principle – Whether the Court of Appeal erred in its application of damages principles.</p>
<p>Court of Appeal decision</p>	<p>THE NEW ZEALAND NATIONAL PARTY v EIGHT MILE STYLE, LLC [2018] NZCA 596 [18 December 2018]</p>
<p>High Court decision</p>	<p>EIGHT MILE STYLE v NEW ZEALAND NATIONAL PARTY [2017] NZHC 2603 [25 October 2017]</p>





SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

Tuesday 7th Winkelmann CJ William Young J O'Regan J Ellen France J Arnold J	SC 105/2018 Rangitira Developments Limited <i>J E Hodder QC and R G Christensen</i> v Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated <i>M C Smith and P A Anderson</i> Civil Appeal
Case Summary	Civil Appeal – Whether the Court of Appeal erred in quashing the declarations and orders made by the High Court.
Grounds on which leave was granted	The approved question is whether the Court of Appeal was in error in setting aside the declarations made at [86] of the judgment of the High Court (Rangitira Developments Ltd v Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society Ltd [2018] NZHC 146, (2018) ELRNZ 312).
Supreme Court leave application decision	RANGITIRA DEVELOPMENTS LTD v ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND INC [2019] NZSC 6 [20 February 2019]
Court of Appeal decision	ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY OF NEW ZEALAND INCORPORATED v RANGITIRA DEVELOPMENTS LIMITED [2018] NZCA 445 [23 October 2018]
High Court Decision	RANGITIRA DEVELOPMENTS LTD v ROYAL FOREST AND BIRD PROTECTION SOCIETY LTD [2018] NZHC 146 [14 February 2018]





SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

Tuesday 14th Winkelmann CJ William Young J O'Regan J Ellen France J Arnold J	SC 1/2019 R <i>N P Chisnall</i> v The Queen <i>J E L Carruthers</i> Criminal Appeal
Case Summary	Criminal Appeal – Whether the Court of Appeal erred in dismissing the appeal against conviction.
Grounds on which leave was granted	The approved ground of appeal is whether the Court of Appeal was correct to dismiss the appeal.
Supreme Court leave application decision	R (SC 1/2019) v R [2019] NZSC 10 [21 February 2019]
Court of Appeal decision	R (CA158/2018) v R [2018] NZCA 529 [27 November 2018]





SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

Thursday 16th Winkelmann CJ William Young J O'Regan J Ellen France J Arnold J	SC 102/2018 Jesse-James Winter <i>A J Bailey</i> v The Queen <i>S K Barr</i> Criminal Appeal
Case Summary	Criminal Appeal – Whether the Court of Appeal erred in dismissing the appeal against conviction.
Grounds on which leave was granted	The approved ground of appeal is whether the Court of Appeal was correct to dismiss the appeal.
Supreme Court leave application decision	JESSE-JAMES WINTER v R [2019] NZSC 8 [21 February 2019]
Court of Appeal decision	WINTER & HANSON v R [2018] NZCA 469 [31 October 2018]





SUPREME COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
Te Kōti Mana Nui

Judges as seen from public seating

Thursday 2 May 2019 at 10.00am

	The Hon Justice O'Regan	The Hon Justice William Young		
--	----------------------------	----------------------------------	--	--

Thursday 2 May 2019 at 11.30am

	The Hon Justice Glazebrook	The Rt Hon the Chief Justice	The Hon Justice O'Regan	
--	-------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------	--

Thursday 2 May 2019 at 2:15pm

	The Hon Justice Glazebrook	The Hon Justice William Young	The Hon Justice O'Regan	
--	-------------------------------	----------------------------------	----------------------------	--

Tuesday 7, 14 and Thursday 16 May 2019

The Hon Justice Ellen France	The Hon Justice William Young	The Rt Hon the Chief Justice	The Hon Justice O'Regan	The Hon Justice Arnold
---------------------------------	----------------------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------	---------------------------

Normal sitting hours: 10.00am – 11.30am, 11.45am – 1.00pm, 2.15pm – 4.00pm

